1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	
3	Civil Action No. 07-11457-JGD
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff,
11 12 13	BEFORE: The Honorable Judith G. Dein, United States Magistrate Judge
15	APPEARANCES:
16 17 18	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR LLP (By Lisa J. Pirozzolo, Esq., Emily R. Schulman, Esq., Timothy D. Syrett, Esq., Dimple Chaudhary, Esq., and Anant Saraswat, Esq.), 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, on behalf of the Plaintiff
20 21 22	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION (By Julie E. Daniele, Esq. and Kevin A. Anahory, Esq.), 70 Franklin Street, Suite 600, Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1300, on behalf of the Defendants
23	1 Courthouse Way
24 25	Boston, Massachusetts 02210 July 25, 2011

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	Opening Statement by Ms. Pirozzolo 5
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Anahory 16
5	
6	WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
7	
8	STUART GRASSIAN
9	By Ms. Chaudhary 22 102
10	By Mr. Anahory 67 104
11	ALBERT FORD
12	By Mr. Syrett 107 169
13	By Ms. Daniele 147 174
14	
15	FOR IN EXHIBITS: I.D. EVID.
16	
17	10 Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Grassian29
18	11 Letter
19	12 Correspondence
20	13 Sick Call Request Form
21	14 Photograph
22	15 Ankle Sleeve
23	16 Sick Call Request Form 140
24	
25	

1 THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated. The United States District Court for the District 2 of Massachusetts is now in session on July 25th, the year 3 2011, in the matter of Ford v. Bender, Civil Action 4 No. 2007-11457. 5 Could counsel please identify themselves for the 6 7 record. MR. SYRETT: Good morning, your Honor. Tim Syrett 8 on behalf of plaintiff, Albert Ford, along with my 9 10 co-counsel, Anant Saraswat, Lisa Pirozzolo, Dimple Chaudhary and Emily Schulman. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MS. DANIELE: Julie Daniele for the Department of 14 Correction, defendant, and with me is attorney Kevin 15 Anahory. 16 THE COURT: Welcome Mr. Ford. 17 Okay, I'm going to ask you all to speak loudly, all 18 right? The acoustics in here look really impressive but it takes a while for the sound to make it up here. 19 20 All right, is everybody ready to proceed? Any 21 pretrial matters? 22 MS. PIROZZOLO: We discussed just one matter. 23 There are nine joint exhibits. And so, we were going to 24 request that those be moved into evidence without --25 THE COURT: I assume that there's no opposition to

```
1
             So why don't we just mark Exhibits 1 through 9 then.
      that.
               Do you have copies for everyone?
2
               MS. PIROZZOLO: We do. We have a binder of the
 3
      admitted exhibits as well as the other exhibits that by
 4
      agreement we agreed could be provided to the Court.
5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
6
7
               MS. DANIELE: Your Honor, the remainder of the
      exhibits there may be objections to.
8
9
               MS. PIROZZOLO: Yes.
               THE COURT: So we'll deal with those item by item.
10
11
      Okay?
12
               MS. PIROZZOLO: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: All right, I think we -- anything else?
14
               MS. DANIELE: I just have one thing, your Honor.
15
      would request that plaintiff's expert be sequestered for
      openings at this time.
16
17
               THE COURT: Any problem with that?
18
               MS. PIROZZOLO: Well, our position is experts
      aren't usually sequestered because he has access to all the
19
      information in the case. But we'll defer to the Court.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Then he will be sequestered.
21
22
      Who is the plaintiff's expert? Would you just sit outside.
23
               DR. GRASSIAN: Sure.
24
               THE COURT: Just during openings.
25
               All right. Now, are we prepared to make an
```

opening? Thank you.

MS. PIROZZOLO: Yes, your Honor.

Good morning. My name is Lisa Pirozzolo and with my colleagues, Tim Syrett, Dimple Chaudhary, Emily Schulman and Anant Saraswat, we represent Mr. Albert Ford, the plaintiff in this case.

We thank the Court for giving Mr. Ford his day in court. Mr. Ford filed this case on July 31st, 2007 from his cell in the Department Disciplinary Unit, DDU, in MCI Cedar Junction. At that point in time he was less than halfway through the 375 day period during which he would be unlawfully held in the harshest, most punitive, solitary confinement unit in the state, not as a convicted criminal but as a pretrial detainee presumed innocent.

Now that the Court has found that Mr. Ford was improperly held in the DDU as a pretrial detainee for those 375 days and was denied his constitutional and procedural due process rights, we are here to address two remaining issues in the case. First, the extent to which Mr. Ford suffered harm and is entitled to compensatory damages for the unlawful detention during that 375 day period. Number two, what equitable remedies are appropriate to rectify the prior violations of Mr. Ford's constitutional rights, including the denial of his procedural due process rights by being detained in the DDU without due process.

During the trial we will present evidence on both of those issues and at the end of the trial we will ask you to compensate Mr. Ford for the injury he suffered. We will also ask that the Department of Correction be ordered to take concrete steps to assist Mr. Ford with the transition from solitary confinement to society. Remedies that are particularly important given that Mr. Ford is scheduled to be released from prison next April.

I would now like to describe the witnesses you will hear from during Mr. Ford's case and also what we believe that evidence will show.

During the trial Mr. Ford will present testimony from five witnesses. First, you will hear from Dr. Stuart Grassian. Dr. Grassian is a psychiatrist with extensive expertise and experience with the impact of solitary confinement on prison inmates. Dr. Grassian is also familiar with the specifics of this case. He knows the DDU at MCI Cedar Junction. Dr. Grassian also knows Mr. Ford and interviewed him for this case.

Dr. Grassian will describe the harsh and severe conditions of confinement in the DDU and the impact of those conditions on Mr. Ford's mental and emotional health. Dr. Grassian, who has helped transition many inmates from prison to life outside, will also explain what types of assistance Mr. Ford will need to help him transition from the DDU to

life in the community when he's released from prison next spring.

Next you will hear from Mr. Ford. Mr. Ford will describe the conditions in the DDU during those 375 days he was held during 2007 and 2008. Mr. Ford will, of course, describe his cell, his daily routine, the substantial restrictions placed upon him, and the physical deprivation. In addition, as only a person who has indured conditions can, Mr. Ford will describe the harm he suffered as a result of DDU confinement, including his humiliation, hopelessness, and fear.

And finally, we will present about half an hour of excerpts from the videotaped depositions of three prison officials, the two defendants in this case, James Bender and Peter St. Amand, as well as Dale Bissonnette.

Mr. Bender was the deputy or acting commissioner of the Department of Correction during the 375 days at issue here. Mr. St. Amand was the superintendent of MCI Cedar Junction at the relevant time period. And Ms. Bissonnette is the unit administrator of DDU.

Tellingly, those witnesses will not contradict Dr.

Grassian's and Mr. Ford's descriptions of the harsh

conditions of confinement in the DDU. Indeed, the Court can

read about those conditions in black and white in the DDU

handbook, which is Joint Exhibit 1.

Mr. St. Amand will also describe the conditions in the general population of MCI Cedar Junction. And I know that portion of Mr. St. Amand's testimony is particularly important because we submit the appropriate measure of damages of the harm in this case is the measure of the difference between the conditions in the general population of MCI Cedar Junction where Mr. Ford should have been held as a pretrial detainee given the lack of any process by the defendants to determine otherwise versus the punitive conditions of the DDU.

The evidence in this case will show that Mr. Ford suffered greatly as a result of being held in the DDU for those 375 days instead of the general population. As a pretrial detainee, Mr. Ford was punished over and over for more than a year. He was isolated from meaningful contact with other human beings. He endured extreme physical deprivation and was humiliated with strip searches and shackles on a daily basis. All of this with no effort at any time to determine whether any of these punitive, harsh conditions were necessary.

What was Mr. Ford's life like in the DDU? For 375 days Mr. Ford lived in a twelve-by-seven cell that looked like this. And I put it up. Mr. Ford's cell had only one narrow window to the outside and another small window into the corner into the corridor of the DDU. Mr. Ford's cell

door, which I'll put up next, had a small slot in the middle for food and a slot at the bottom to facilitate shackles being placed upon him. Mr. Ford spent 23 hours a day in this cell, let out only twice a day to take insulin for his diabetes, three times a week to shower, or five times a week to exercise, and we'll show you the exercise cage which looks like it belongs in a dog kennel. He was also virtually isolated from normal human contact. He ate all his meals by himself in his cell, exercised in the cage by himself. From the confines of his cell, he can't see or converse in a normal manner with other inmates.

Indeed, during his pretrial confinement, Mr. Ford noticed that his blood sugar levels were elevated when he went for his second shot of insulin at the end of the day and asked to be able to leave his cell at one additional time to get an additional insulin shot. He was denied permission to do so. As a result, he suffered daily spikes in his blood sugar levels that worsened the loss of sensation in his feet.

In sum, prison officials confined Mr. Ford to live his life in this small cell subject to these conditions as a pretrial detainee for more than a year. In contrast, inmates in the general population at MCI Cedar Junction do not live their entire life in a cell. They're confined in their cells overnight from 10:00 p.m. to 7:30 a.m. and for

an hour or so during the day while a count of inmates is conducted. For the rest of the time they have freedom to go to jobs, exercise, and interact with other inmates in the prison yard or gym, eat meals with each another, visit a law library, and participate in other recreational activities. The damage from being unconstitutionally held in a small cell for 23 hours a day for more than a year, denied the ability to go outside or exercise in a meaningful way, eat in a cafeteria, get a third insulin shot, to have normal human contact, that is harm for which Mr. Ford is entitled to damages.

What restrictions were placed on Mr. Ford while he was in the DDU? Mr. Ford endured degrading and dehumanizing restrictions on his freedom of movement while being held in the DDU that are not routinely imposed on inmates in the general population. For those 375 days, Mr. Ford was shackled every time he left his cell. Shackled to take his insulin shots, shackled to go to the exercise cage, shackled to go to the shower.

What does that mean? Chains at his waist, chains on his ankles, chains on his hands. So think about that. For 375 days, Mr. Ford was either in a small concrete cell or he was in shackles.

Mr. Ford will also explain that due to his diabetes the loss of sensation in his feet worsened significantly

during that 375 day period, and he still suffers from loss of sensation in his feet. As a result he could not even feel the shackles as they created cuts on his ankles and legs that are still there.

In contrast, inmates in general population are not routinely shackled every time they leave their cell.

And that's not the worst of it. Every single time Mr. Ford left his cell in the DDU he was forced to endure a strip search by prison guards, when he got his insulin, when he went to exercise, when he went for a shower. And while it will be difficult for him, Mr. Ford will explain during the trial what this process involves and how it made him feel, multiple daily strip searches for a 375 day period just simply as a matter of routine regardless of whether prison officials suspected any problems or issues. In contrast, prisoners in the general population only endure strip searches if prison officials suspect a problem.

The harm caused by being shackled and strip searched approximately three times a day or more for 375 days, that's over 1,100 times at being humiliated with a strip search and shackles. That's harm for which Mr. Ford is entitled to compensation.

What was the impact of the DDU on Mr. Ford's health. In addition to being denied the ability to take his insulin three times a day, Mr. Ford's ability to obtain

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meaningful exercise was severely restricted. He was permitted only five hours a week in the exercise cage. Ιn the cage his movement remains restricted. There is no -there are no weights or equipment to use. And even that minimal exercise can be canceled such as in the winter months if there's snow or ice in the cages. In contrast, inmates in the general population can exercise in the yard. And I've put up a picture of the yard. Open to the air, the sun. You can see the basketball hoops in the middle. addition, during winter months and at other times, inmates in the general population have access to a gymnasium and other recreational activities such as a pool table. damage caused by not being able to run or even walk freely and instead being held in a cage, that's harm for which Mr. Ford is entitled to be compensated.

What human contact did Mr. Ford have? In the DDU for 375 days, Mr. Ford had virtually no positive human contact. He ate all of his meals alone in his cell and exercised by himself. He could see other inmates. He could not see any other inmates from his cell. The only way to communicate with other inmates was to shout through a door slot or a vent. Mr. Ford was permitted only four phone calls per month and four visits. Indeed, his most frequent human contact during that time period was with the prison guards who were strip searching and shackling him.

In contrast, inmates in the general population eat together in the cafeteria, exercise together in the yard.

They have jobs where they come into contact with others.

They have unlimited phone calls, and can have visitors from outside three times a week.

As you will hear from Dr. Grassian during the trial, the damage caused by having virtual isolation from contact with human beings other than prison guards, conducting strip searches and putting on shackles, is very real, and that's harm for which Mr. Ford is entitled to be compensated.

I want to talk about transition issues. As a result of his confinement in the DDU, both as a pretrial detainee and subsequently without due process of the convicted prisoner, Mr. Ford is likely to have a very difficult time transitioning to normal daily life when he is released from prison next spring. Dr. Grassian will discuss the need to transition Mr. Ford from DDU into a setting where he obtains more human contact before he is released from prison. In addition, Dr. Grassian will describe the specific needs Mr. Ford will have for mental health services once he is released.

At the conclusion of the case, we will ask the Court to award Mr. Ford monetary damages to compensate him for these very real harms and the damage he suffered in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DDU during that 375 day period. We will also be requesting equitable relief, namely, that the DOC take concrete steps to prepare him for his release.

Before I conclude, I want to briefly address a couple of points that we believe the defendants will raise in responses to Mr. Ford's damages claim. First, based on a communication we received last week we understand that the DOC contends that the appropriate measure of damages in this case involves not a comparison between confinement in the DDU and the general population, but a comparison between DDU and conditions of confinement characteristic of administrative segregation. This comparison is inappropriate for at least two reasons. First, and most importantly, there's no evidence that DOC ever applied any process to determine where Mr. Ford should be placed while on pretrial status. They just kept him in the DDU. defendants should not be permitted to rewrite the factual record by testifying that they would have applied a process that they never in fact applied and indeed have yet to apply to Mr. Ford even following the Court's summary judgment Such self-serving testimony is rank speculation at best.

Second, administrative segregation requires extensive oversight by DOC officials, reports every seven days for the first two months and then monthly monitoring

thereafter. There is no evidence that in 2007 or 2008, DOC ever exercised such oversight of Mr. Ford's confinement.

Indeed, the regulations applicable to administrative segregation suggest that it is not an appropriate placement for more than a year.

In addition, defendants also appear to contend that Mr. Ford is not entitled to any monetary damages for the constitutional violation. Among other things, we believe the defendants will claim that any harm he suffered is not significantly real to support a damages claim. We dispute that contention on the grounds that the evidence will show that the harm suffered from having his blood sugar spike was real. The harm suffered from the shackles was real. The harm caused by isolation from human contact was real. And those very real harms damage Mr. Ford's physical and mental health.

Defendants also appear to contend that Mr. Ford cannot meet his burden of proof on damages because it's impossible to separate out any harm Mr. Ford suffered as a pretrial detainee from the time he spent, from the harm he suffered as a result of the time spent as a convicted inmate.

This is incorrect. As an initial matter, the fact that Mr. Ford suffered both as a pretrial detainee and a

convicted inmate does not mean that the damage of the 375 days as a pretrial detainee is inconsequential. That was a year of his life.

Moreover, as both Mr. Ford will testify and Dr. Grassian will explain, Mr. Ford's pretrial DDU confinement was different. While Mr. Ford was out on bail from the period of April 2007 to June 2007, Mr. Ford realized for the first time how profoundly the DDU had affected him, how difficult it was to be around people, eat a meal with his family, take an elevator. So when Mr. Ford returned to the DDU and was put there as a pretrial detainee in June 2007, he experienced the harshness of his conditions in a new way with more understanding and more fear of its impact.

In conclusion, we ask only that the DOC take responsibility for what they have done and compensate Mr. Ford for the harm caused by their unjustified placement of him in the DDU, and we also ask that the Court order DOC to take steps to rectify the harm that has been done.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Defendants?

MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, this is a case about decisions made and why they were made. It's also a case about damages, your Honor. Mr. Ford contends that he has suffered as a result of being placed in the DDU as a

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pretrial detainee. The evidence will show, your Honor, that in fact he hasn't suffered from being placed in that unit for compensatory damages, your Honor.

The defendants will show that plaintiff's significant disciplinary history, which included assaults on staff, assaults on inmates, assaults, shanks hidden in his cell, 14-inch shanks hidden in general population, this, this history is the decision that was, this history was presented to the department defendants in determining what to do with Mr. Ford at the time. While it was deemed unconstitutional by this Court that his placement in the DDU was inappropriate, he would not have been appropriate for the general population. The evidence will show that because of his history, which included significant history of escapes and escape risks, that the defendants would not have placed him in general population back in 2007, rather, he would have been placed in administrative segregation, your Honor. And the evidence will show that administrative segregation in the department for a maximum security inmate would have been at the very least similar to the conditions of confinement in the DDU, if in fact not worst, your Honor.

The defendants remaining in the case are James

Bender. He is, he at the time, in 2007, was the

department's deputy commissioner of the prison division.

And as that, in that capacity, your Honor, it was his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibility to determine what to do with Mr. Ford in 2007. At that time, he placed him in the DDU. Had he not been able to do that, your Honor, he would have taken a look at, and the evidence will show, the extensive history that I mentioned of violence, violence against staff, violence against inmates, violence, your Honor, that was unprovoked. He would have taken a look at that history, your Honor, and he would have determined that the appropriate placement for Mr. Ford, if the DDU was not available, would have been an admin segregation unit and most likely, your Honor, that would have been at the Mass. Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction, a maximum security facility. Another possibility, your Honor, would have been a maximum security institution at Shirley, the Susan Baranowsky Correctional Facility. The evidence will show, your Honor, that the conditions of confinement in those units were either substantially similar or worse than the conditions in the DDU.

The other defendant, your Honor, is Peter St.

Amand. In 2007, he was the superintendent of the Mass.

Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction. At that time he was responsible for the internal placement of inmates. If

Mr. Ford had been incarcerated -- it was determined that he was going to be incarcerated at Cedar Junction, your Honor, in 2007, he would have been responsible for determining

where he would have been housed. That was the maximum security institution available to the Department of Correction for placement in 2007 for Mr. Ford. There will be evidence that will show that that would have been the appropriate placement.

Peter St. Amand's testimony will establish, your

Honor, that Mr. Ford could not have been held in the general

population at that unit for one very important reason, your

Honor. One of the correction officers that Mr. Ford stabbed

violently in 2002 was employed at that facility. So he

certainly would have been inappropriate for general

population at that facility, your Honor.

The department is also going to introduce as a witness an expert, Dr. Bernard Katz, who will testify, your Honor, to the emotional well-being of Mr. Ford. It's our contention, your Honor, that he did not suffer any emotional harm either in the prior time, prior to 2007, the 30 some odd years, approximately, where Mr. Ford was in the DDU confinement, nor did he suffer it in 2007. He's met with Mr. Ford. He's reviewed his records. And that evidence will be shown to your Honor.

He's also going to testify, your Honor, that the DDU, unlike plaintiff's expert, is not inherently toxic to an inmate's mental health as they will contend.

Your Honor, Carol Mici, who is the department's

deputy commissioner for, assistant deputy commissioner for classification, a position she held back in 2007, will testify as to the appropriate placement for Mr. Ford given again his long history of violence, his escape risk, your Honor. He's a level A security inmate, which requires that he be shackled when he's transported.

The evidence will demonstrate, your Honor, through all of these defendants, through the defendants and through the witnesses that Mr. Ford is a dangerous inmate. This is the information that was available to the corrections, corrections staff at that time, and these were the decisions they have to make. Because their responsibility, your Honor, is not only to Mr. Ford, but the evidence will show that their responsibility is to the entire institution, the people employed therein, your Honor, as well as other inmates.

Mr. Ford's own actions have placed him in the level of confinement that he is in and the level of confinement the evidence will show that he would have been in in 2007 if the DDU was not available to him.

Your Honor, there's one more witness that the department would like to introduce to you and that's Dale Bissonnette. She is the unit manager of the department's disciplinary unit. She in that capacity will be able to testify, your Honor, to the privileges that were available

to Mr. Ford in the DDU, privileges that he wouldn't have had in ad. seg., your Honor. She will also testify to the differences between the two units so your Honor has an understanding of what it is that she's, what to compare it with, your Honor. Because it's our contention that Mr. Ford never would have seen the general population and he would have been in ad. seg.

In conclusion, your Honor, I just would like you to consider the evidence that's going to be put forth to you, your Honor. We will demonstrate that the appropriate placement for Mr. Ford was administrative segregation, not the general population. And the reason for that, your Honor, is not on any bias on the part of the defendants towards Mr. Ford, but because of Mr. Ford's own actions, his own disciplinary history, that will be demonstrated to you and it will be clear that administrative segregation is the appropriate place. And if it is, your Honor, then the level of damages would need to be compared to that unit and the evidence will show, your Honor, that there are no actual compensatory damages in this case, your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Are you ready to call your first witness?

MS. PIROZZOLO: Yes, your Honor. We have to go get Dr. Grassian.

```
1
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
                (Pause in proceedings.)
 2
               THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
 3
               Do you solemnly swear the testimony you are about
 4
      to give this Court is the truth, the whole truth, and
 5
      nothing but the truth, so help you God?
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: I do.
               THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your
 8
      full name, spelling your last name for the record.
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: My name is Dr. Stuart Grassian,
      GRASSIAN.
11
12
               THE COURT: You may proceed.
13
                         STUART GRASSIAN
14
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. CHAUDHARY
15
16
          Dr. Grassian, what is your present employment?
17
          I'm a psychiatrist.
      Α
18
         Are you board certified?
      Q
          Yes, I am.
19
          And how long have you been a board certified
20
21
      psychiatrist?
22
          Since, I believe that would be 1979 I got my board
23
      certification.
24
          And how are you currently employed?
25
          I'm in private practice.
```

1 Q And have you held any other positions other than being in private practice? 2 3 Α Yes. And what are those positions? I was on the faculty of the Harvard Medical School, the 5 teaching faculty, during my residency and subsequently. So 6 that would be from about 1974 through approximately 2002. 7 also have been head of the inpatient units at two of 8 Boston's community mental health centers, and head of 9 inpatient adult adolescent psychiatry at the New England 10 11 Memorial Hospital. I was also for about two years assistant 12 clinical professor at Tufts, and I've also had outpatient supervision positions in addictions. I've lectured at 13 Harvard and other situations like that. 14 15 Dr. Grassian, could you please describe your educational 16 background? 17 Certainly. I have a bachelor's degree from Harvard in 18 1967. I obtained my medical degree from New York University Medical Center in 1973. I did a straight internship in 19 20 medicine there from '73 to '74, and then a psychiatry residency in adult and adolescent psychiatry at Harvard 21 22 Medical School and Beth Israel Hospital from '74 to '77. 23 Subsequently, I completed my affiliation with Beth Israel 24 and Harvard and have been in private practice and had these 25 other administrative positions.

```
1
          Do you have any other degrees other than the ones you've
      0
 2
      mentioned?
          I have a master's degree in sociology and a JD degree.
 3
          And, Dr. Grassian, do you have any experience in
 5
      evaluating the psychological effects of segregated
      confinement on prison inmates?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes, I do.
          Have you served as an expert in court in any cases
 8
      addressing that issue?
 9
          Yes, I have.
10
      Α
11
          What were you asked to do in this case?
          Well, there have been a variety of different cases.
12
13
      Many concern the mental health of inmates in segregated
      confinement.
                     These included individual class action
14
                 There were also Sixth Amendment issues around
15
16
      pretrial detention, and the impairment in the capacity to
17
      assist in one's own defense. I've been involved in cases
18
      involving people who are accused of or convicted of
19
      terrorist activities especially in regard to issues such as
20
      their ability to cooperate with the U.S. government and
      obtain information, the effects of solitary confinement,
21
22
      things of that sort.
23
          And, Doctor --
      Q
24
          I'm sorry, one other thing. I've also often, not often,
      but from time to time been asked to evaluate the impact of
25
```

```
1
      confinement on a person's adjustment after release,
      especially when the release was directly out of solitary
 2
      confinement back into the community.
 3
          And did any of the lawsuits for which you served as an
 5
      expert involve segregated housing units in Massachusetts
      state prisons?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes.
          And what units did you consider as part of your expert
 8
      work?
 9
          Well, most of it concerned the Cedar Junction/Walpole,
10
      the old Block 10, and the DDU.
11
12
          And has all of your expert testimony been offered on
13
      behalf of inmates?
14
      Α
          No.
15
          Have you ever -- who else have you been retained by to
16
      serve as an expert?
17
          On one occasion I was retained in a class action suit by
18
      the Department of Corrections of the State of Florida
      involving conditions in solitary and mental health. I also
19
20
      was retained by a private firm, by attorneys for a private
21
      firm that was providing detention services to presumed
22
      illegal immigrants, or people who were seeking asylum.
                                                                So
23
      it was an immigration detention facility. And I also
24
      consulted with a research firm that was doing, it was
25
      attempting to do research on the effects of solitary
```

- 1 confinement in a DDU.
- 2 | Q Have you had any experience evaluating prisoners while
- 3 they've been held in solitary confinement?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And approximately how many prisons would you say you've
- 6 evaluated involving inmate segregation?
- 7 A At this point -- I think at one point I said 200. And I
- 8 think it must be more than that.
- 9 Q And have you had any experience evaluating the effects
- of segregated confinement in individuals after their release
- 11 from prison?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q And do you treat any such individuals in your private
- 14 practice?
- 15 A I have treated individuals who have been in the DDU and
- other segregated conditions of confinement and were
- 17 subsequently released.
- 18 Q And have you treated any individuals that were housed in
- 19 the DDU at MCI Cedar Junction?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Dr. Grassian, have you published any articles on the
- 22 effects of segregated confinement?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And could you list those articles?
- 25 A There were two peer reviewed articles. The first and

probably seminal work was entitled Psychiatric -
Psychopathologic Effects of Solitary Confinement and that

was published by the official Journal of the American

Psychiatric Association, the American Journal of Psychiatry.

Basically it described what I described as a pathognomonic

syndrome associated with solitary confinement. The syndrome

that was really strikingly different from the usual

psychiatric syndromes one sees in practice.

A subsequent peer reviewed article concerned the effects of sensory deprivation or perceptual deprivation in solitary confinement and in psychiatric seclusion. Those are the two peer reviewed articles. I have had other articles published, but those were not articles I submitted, they were articles that people asked me to allow them to publish.

- **Q** And, Dr. Grassian, you mentioned that you published two peer reviewed articles. What does it mean for articles to be peer reviewed?
- A peer reviewed article is an article which is reviewed by experts in the field who have sub-specialty interest in the particular subject that you're writing about.
- Q And, Dr. Grassian, have you ever testified on the effects of segregated confinement before a government or legislative body?
- A Yes, on a number of occasions.

```
1
          And have you presented any lectures on the effects of
      Q
      segregated confinement?
 2
          Yes, I have.
 3
      Α
          Dr. Grassian, I'm going to hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit
 5
      C.
          Yes.
 6
      Α
 7
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, which exhibit?
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Plaintiff's Exhibit C.
 8
 9
               MS. PIROZZOLO: It's at tab 12, your Honor.
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Tab 12.
10
          Dr. Grassian, what is this document?
11
      Q
12
          This is a CV, my curriculum vitae updated in January of
13
      this year.
14
      Q
          And is it accurate to your knowledge?
15
      Α
          Yes, I would hope so. I would certainly hope so.
16
               MS. CHAUDHARY: We would like to offer Plaintiff's
17
      Exhibit C into evidence.
18
               THE COURT: Any objection?
19
               MR. ANAHORY: No, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: All right. It's in. We'll mark it
      Exhibit 12? Or 10?
21
22
               MS. CHAUDHARY: It's Plaintiff's Exhibit C, it was
23
      just in tab 12 of the binder, but I believe it was marked as
24
      Exhibit C to key to our joint pretrial submission.
25
               THE COURT: But I think the book that you gave me
```

```
1
      has 1 through 9, that's agreed upon?
 2
               MS. CHAUDHARY:
                                Okay.
                THE COURT: So why don't I make this 10 and then
 3
      we'll move things along that way.
 4
 5
               MS. PIROZZOLO: That sound goods.
               THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
                (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
          Dr. Grassian, what have you been asked to do in this
 8
      case?
 9
          In this case, I was asked to evaluate the effects of
10
      Mr. Ford being placed in the DDU as a pretrial detainee in
11
      June of '07 and then subsequently his continued presence in
12
13
      the DDU since his eventual sentencing in April of '08.
14
          Were you asked also to provide any opinions on
15
      segregated confinement other than those?
16
          Yes, I was asked to be able to, or be willing to provide
17
      general opinions about the effects of segregated
18
      confinement, my experience of the DDU in relationship to
      other conditions of segregated confinement as well, and as I
19
20
      said the effects of that confinement on Mr. Ford, my
      experience with people who have been released from
21
22
      segregated confinement back into the general population, and
23
      my experience with people who have been released from
24
      general, from segregated confinement back directly into the
      community without a period of transition. Also, I would
25
```

```
1
      imagine I might be asked to comment briefly about Mr.,
      Mr. Ford's experience during the time he was on out on bail.
 2
      I don't know.
 3
          And what did you conclude regarding the issues you were
      asked to consider in this case, briefly?
 5
          Well, my work has consistently been as I stated,
 6
      segregated confinement is a very harsh, psychologically
 7
      punitive, very difficult experience for people and causes
 8
      significant impairment in mental functioning. And that,
 9
      while that varies, the degree of it varies from person to
10
11
      person, there are certain features of that syndrome which
12
      are very particular to the experience of solitary
      confinement and other experiences of reduced environmental
13
14
      stimulation, occupational stimulation, social stimulation.
15
      Okay.
16
          And did you make any specific conclusions with regards
17
      to Mr. Ford?
18
          I did, I did as well.
          And what were those conclusions, briefly?
19
          Basically that Mr. Ford, while he had shown a great deal
20
      of resilience early on in his prison career in managing the
21
22
      experience of solitary confinement, even before he was
23
      released on bail in March of 2007 he was already starting to
24
      show difficulties. He was starting to become symptomatic.
25
      That was clearly demonstrated in the record, the medical
```

record.

His return to DDU in June 2007 was kind of catastrophic for him. It was as though -- you know, it's, the analogy I think I provided was the analogy of a person who had suffered a severe concussion and was just starting to recover from it. I mean, not only was he starting to deal with life outside of prison, but also there's the realization that you can't predict until you've been there of how hard it is, how difficult, how symptomatic he would continue to be, how much he would struggle with stimulation, with social stimulation, fear, et cetera. So, when he went back to the DDU it was like a person who's had a severe concussion and is just starting to heal and then gets another.

- **Q** Dr. Grassian, did you review any documents in forming your opinions?
- 17 A Yes.
- **Q** And do you recall which, any documents that you reviewed?
- A Well, there were documents, legal documents in the case, the complaint, multiple depositions, the report of Dr. Katz.
- Q Did you review Mr. Ford's medical and mental health records as well?
- A Yes, actually in 2007 when I was initially involved in this in a different matter related to Mr. Ford, I actually

1 reviewed his entire medical and Department of Corrections portfolio up to that time which is pretty -- it was a lot of 2 And subsequently I looked at his mental health 3 record since his reincarceration. 4 And did you conduct any interviews in forming that 5 opinions? 6 I'm sorry, I didn't hear. 7 THE COURT: Did you conduct any interviews in forming your opinions? 8 0 9 Α Yes. 10 And who did you interview? Originally in 2007, I interviewed Mr. Ford on three 11 12 occasions over the course of about three or four months, and 13 for about four and-a-half hours or so all together. 14 interviewed his sister, Leona. And I interviewed several 15 people who had been close to him during the period of time 16 he was out on bail. That would have included his Alcoholics 17 Anonymous sponsor. An attorney, I think her name was Eva 18 Clark, with whom he worked with DYS, in DYS facilities talking to individuals in those facilities about the horrors 19 20 of prison and all the losses that he had suffered as a result of his activities. 21 22 I spoke with, I can't remember the name of the 23 individual who, he was a probation officer at MSW who, who

was involved in a relapse and sobriety group program.

think this was mostly for adolescents or younger people, and

24

25

Albert would attend those meetings but also become a kind of a spokesperson. He was older than most of the people there. So, I interviewed that individual as well. And I also interviewed the bishop of the church that Albert had attended when he was a child and was involved with since he was released on bail.

In 2011, I again interviewed Mr. Ford in the DDU and also again interviewed Leona Ford, his sister.

- Q Dr. Grassian, I would like to talk about the conditions of confinement in the DDU. Are you familiar with those conditions?
- A Basically, yes.

- Q How did you become familiar with those conditions?
- A Well, gee, there are several ways. I toured the DDU a number of years ago. I've had a number of people that I've evaluated who were in the DDU of course who described the conditions.

Also, one of the depositions in this matter, I don't recall whose, actually described the conditions as well. But, I mean, they're all the same. I mean, the conditions don't seem to have changed at all.

- **Q** And did you speak to Mr. Ford about the conditions of confinement in the DDU?
- A Yes. Yes.
- 25 | Q And do you have some experience evaluating similar

1 solitary confinement conditions?

- A I have evaluated solitary confinement situations in many, many locations and toured a number of solitary confinement sections in prisons.
 - Q And can you describe the cells in the DDU?
 - A Yes. The cells in the DDU, if I recall correctly, I'm trying to remember exactly how many square feet they are, about 85 square feet, if I remember correctly. It's very, sort of typical of the square footage in a cell.

The cell is very stark. Everything in it other than the toilet/sink combination is cement. At the end of the cell is a cement slab on which they place a mattress. And I believe it's right above there that they have a slit, one of those slit windows that I think are about seven or eight inches wide or six or seven, eight inches wide, a couple of feet taller. Maybe not. I don't really know how tall they are. But those look out on, well, either on other buildings or -- some of them I believe look out onto the dog run exercise cages.

Other than that there is a stool that's made out of cement. And there's a little cement shelf. And then there's the toilet/sink combination which is stainless steel.

The doors are solid steel. And they're sliding. So there's a little slit on he edge of the door and that's

where people often try to talk to people in the cells. And there's also a food slot.

There's another slit window which is not as high facing out towards the tier as well. And that's basically it.

Q Are you permitted to exercise in the DDU?

- A Exercise by regulation is allowed to be for up to five
 hours a week, one hour a day, I think just on the week days.

 And that would be out in the so-called dog runs. These are
 long, narrow cages outside of the building and basically
 barren. Basically you can walk back and forth or run.
 - There's nothing else to do in those cages.
- Q And do inmates in the DDU have opportunities to interact with other people?
 - A Interaction is exceedingly limited. I believe that's almost by intent as I understand it.

The interactions with the correctional officers are exceedingly limited. Corrections officers do make rounds, they pass by, but there's usually no speaking at all at those times. The corrections officers also have to bring them their food three times a day. But generally again no, there's no conversation, it's just they bring the food and leave.

There also are rounds by medical staff which I believe are almost on a daily basis, but again usually

there's virtually no conversation. And rounds by health staff which are three times a week. And they basically are, you know, they're through the door. So, you know, say how are you doing and most inmates will not say much of anything, fine, you know, and move on.

Inmate to inmate communication is very difficult.

It basically consists of shouting either through the slit inside of the door or through the ventilation shaft. I, myself, and when I've toured it did in fact have the door closed and tried to speak to people outside of the cell.

And it's, it's a very unpleasant experience because the cell is so barren and hard, all the cement and everything, everything's echoing and it's not a very pleasant experience. You can't have a normal conversation at all.

- **Q** Are inmates permitted to have contact with family and friends that visit the DDU?
- A The contacts that are allowed, well, first, they're limited in frequency and duration, but they're also noncontact. And basically the visitor and the inmate are in separate rooms, separated by pretty thick Plexiglas that you end up speaking to the other person through the telephone. It's pretty uncomfortable and awkward.

The amount of social visitation that's allowed with family is limited. I think it starts at zero, I think for the first 30 days, then can go to one a month, two a month,

1 as you, as you progress, and at most once a week. Are inmates strip searched in the DDU? 2 Any time there's movement from the cell an inmate is 3 strip searched and shackled. And how would you describe the contact between the 5 inmate and the correctional officer during this strip 6 7 searching and shackling process? It's --8 MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. Calls for 9 10 speculation. He has no knowledge of how --THE COURT: Well, we'll ask him that. How do you 11 12 know? 13 THE WITNESS: I've spoken to inmates about it as 14 well. 15 THE COURT: Then I'll accept it on that basis. 16 It's a very tense situation. There's always -- it's 17 intrinsically tense. It's intrinsically -- the strip search 18 is intrinsically humiliating. Things can go wrong and there could be sudden violence against, you know, if, if an inmate 19 20 trips, if an inmate stumbles, he's got to be very careful 21 not to let any sudden movements because of the danger that 22 would be interpreted as an assault. So it's a very, very 23 tense, humiliating, stressful kind of thing. A lot of 24 inmates avoid going out of their cells just to avoid that. 25 Dr. Grassian, in your opinion is the DDU psychologically harmful to an inmate?

- A The conditions in the DDU are inevitably harmful and impair mental health.
 - Q And can you describe that harm?
 - A Certainly. Basically in a situation like the DDU there is a grossly inadequate level of stimulation, of occupational stimulation and perceptual stimulation, and of course social stimulation. In a situation like that a person has an enormously difficult time in any adequate state of alertness and inevitably the person starts to descend into a kind of stupor state.

This actually has also been documented in the electroencephalogram, the brain wave pattern, after a few days of solitary confinement. Even healthy volunteers will show the EEG changes associated with stupor and delirium.

So you're in this situation where you have a very hard time maintaining any adequate level of full alertness and people tend to go in one of two directions. They either tend to go into this kind of disassociative fog where they can't think and kind of lose track of things. And it's not pleasant. And in that situation the irony is that when you do receive stimuli they are jarring and extremely unpleasant. The idea, for example, that, you know, you hear the dripping of a water or the sudden clanging of a door and it jars you, because you're not, you're not really fully

alert.

So, unfortunately, one of the very common, almost universal experiences is that as people are in solitary confinement they actually become intolerant of stimulation. Then, again, actually this notion of hyper responsivity to external stimulation with the subjectively dysaesthetic painful experience of it is, I think it's pretty much universal, and has actually also been documented in the medical literature with electroencephalographic studies showing that even the EEG will show spike wave discharges from people who have been in solitary when they have ordinary levels of stimulation.

The other thing that happens to people, and in my experience this tends to be people who are more cognitively strong and more emotionally, less impulsive, what happens is that they succeed in focusing but then they can't switch focus so they become obsessional. They become fixated on something. And when you become fixated on something it's almost, in that setting, it's almost always something terribly unpleasant. A lot of people become fixated, for example -- well, of course, noise and smells, sights. I mean, I had, I had one inmate I remember who I couldn't talk to him because he was so distracted by the buzzing of the fluorescent light in the area I was seeing him. And I didn't even notice it. But they just can't stop focusing on

1 something.

You know, if you think about the problem of the tension, of alertness, think of it in terms of, for example, a detention deficit hyperactivity disorder, there are two associated problems. One is the inability to focus, the inability to gain attention which, you know, distractibility and all of that kind of stuff, you see it in HDDD and you see it in solitary.

And the other is the inability to switch focus.

The most difficult aspect of the detention is what's called the executive function of detention which is the ability to focus on one thing but shift away and be aware of other things that are going on. And that becomes lost.

So I've had people, I remember one fellow in particular who spent 24 hours a day, seven days a week focused on whether, because he never felt that his bladder was empty. And it was just absolute torture for him. And as soon as he left solitary he was fine.

- **Q** Dr. Grassian, what kind of psychiatric conditions or diagnoses can result from solitary confinement?
- A I'm not sure I understand.
- Q So in --
- 23 A Can result from --
- Q From solitary confinement, what kind of diagnoses may result from a term of solitary confinement?

```
1
          Okay. Well, as I said, I mean, the most characteristic
      is the development of some degree of stupor, delirium, the
2
      whole -- which is a very broad spectrum. I don't mean
 3
      full-blown delirium which can occur but doesn't usually. It
            I have seen it, I've described it a number of times.
 5
      This one included the hyper responsivity, external
 6
7
      stimulation. The major difficulty is with thinking,
      concentration and memory. And then you have free floating
8
      anxiety and panic attacks, very, very common, and of course
9
      major, severe depressive symptoms, suicidality, violence
10
11
      directed against oneself, et cetera.
12
          And are all individuals held in segregated confinement
13
      affected in the same way and to the same degree?
14
      Α
          Well, the effects I've described, the type of effects
15
      are pretty much universal. I would say universal.
16
      degree to which they're, people are affected varies quite,
17
      quite a bit, quite dramatically, yes.
18
          And how long do the effects of segregated confinement
      last after the individual is released?
19
          Unfortunately, there never has been a systematic, long,
20
      large scale study. There should be. My own experience is,
21
22
      from the people I've seen subsequent who had been in
23
      segregated confinement and left. And I have seen people
24
      who -- this intolerance of stimulation, especially the
      difficulty with social stimulation, but other kinds of
25
```

1 stimulation as well, and the fearfulness, I've seen that 2 linger for a very long time. I mean, I have wondered if in some cases whether this was going to be a lifelong 3 impairment to get somewhat better. But I've seen people 4 years afterwards who still, I mean, they, they struggle with 5 it every day. 6 Dr. Grassian, do you believe that the mental health 7 services provided to inmates DDU are adequate? 8 From my experience from what I'm aware of, no. 9 10 Why? 0 11 Well, there are a number of reasons. First of all, 12 there's, there's a paradox, an inconsistency in the, in the 13 approach to people going into the DDU. On the one hand, one 14 often reads depositions and such, people saying, well, no, 15 the DDU doesn't cause any psychiatric harm so it's not a 16 problem. But then others are saying at the same time, but 17 we have enhanced evaluations, screening and monitoring. 18 Well, the two statements don't obviously go together. I 19 know that at Bridgewater at this point there is a full 20 recognition that there are many people who can't tolerate solitary confinement. 21 22 MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. I would ask 23 that that be stricken. That was unresponsive and it's 24 really not an issue in this case, your Honor. Dr. Grassian --25 Q

```
1
                                Can I establish a foundation to see
               MS. CHAUDHARY:
      if --
 2
                THE COURT:
                            It's stricken for now.
 3
          Dr. Grassian, what is Bridgewater?
          Bridgewater State Hospital is a place where they send
 5
      people who are psychiatrically falling apart, suicidal,
 6
      become psychotic, severely psychotic.
 7
          And have you treated any inmates who have been held at
 8
 9
      Bridgewater?
10
          Yes, absolutely. And I've read a lot of Bridgewater
      records.
11
12
          And do you have an opinion about the mental health
13
      services, can you comment on the mental health services
14
      offered at Bridgewater?
15
      Α
          Well --
16
               MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Overruled.
18
          I wasn't actually commenting on the mental health
      services offered there, though I have, what I have seen is a
19
      number of records that state that there are inmates who
20
21
      can't tolerate solitary confinement, and in fact this has
22
      led to some administrative change in the Department of
23
      Corrections where some people are contraindicated to the DDU
24
      on the basis of mental illness. Unfortunately, those people
25
      are not contraindicated from other harsh conditions of
```

solitary confinement, but they are from the DDU.

In any event, so, you know, even though there's this sort of hedging about whether DDU confinement is harmful, basically there's a recognition somewhere in those records. The screening that's done --

THE COURT: It's either -- what's the -- when you say that the mental health treatment at the DDU is not adequate, what is the level of mental health treatment?

enters the DDU they are supposed to be mental health screened. Now, those screenings, I've seen a number, many of them. And they basically consist of nothing other than a mental status examination that's very, very cursory. So, you know, if the person isn't overtly psychotic and whatever then the person is not going to be contraindicated to the DDU.

So those records are strikingly devoid of history. They're strikingly devoid of history regarding mental health problems, attention deficit disorder, hyperactivity disorder, bipolar mood disorder, which are severe vulnerability factors for decompensation in solitary. And they are also devoid of any information about the person's adjustment to solitary confinement previously. So there's virtually no information in them.

The mental health monitoring that's done --

THE COURT: So that's just for the purpose of determining whether or not somebody is mentally capable of going into the DDU?

THE WITNESS: That's right. Right. And basically what I'm saying is that level of analysis or evaluation is grossly inadequate to see if the person is vulnerable.

Then the mental health monitoring that occurs is basically through the door, through the slit in the solid steel door. And, A, inmates don't trust these folks, B, they're not going to, you know, they report and they're not going to, you know, shout, you know, that they're having problems through the door.

And they're very, by the time they've been in the DDU, along with being intolerant of social simulation, the stimulation they get socially is harsh. It's not -- it doesn't encourage trust. So very little happens during those rounds. And I have seen many cases where a person has grossly decompensated into a psychotic, grossly psychotic state and the mental health rounds reveal nothing. I mean, I've seen people diagnosed in mental health rounds as malingerers, while they're receiving high doses of antipsychotic medication that can cause permanent neurologic harm. So very severe, very serious medication, but there's like, they're clueless that anything at all is going on.

So that's what I've seen in the DDU. And I've seen

1 many cases where people are psychotic for long periods of time and it wasn't until they did something overtly 2 bizarre --3 MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. 4 5 -- that they were sent to Bridgewater. THE COURT: Wait. 6 7 MR. ANAHORY: He's rambling on about things that -he's not answering your question, your Honor. I believe the 8 answer is now, he's giving you fluff here, your Honor, of 9 10 stuff that --11 THE COURT: I don't think that that's a recognized 12 objection. 13 MR. ANAHORY: Well, your Honor, he's not 14 responsive to your question is my objection, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. What I would like to know, 16 though, are these evaluations or these observations that 17 you've made, have you used these to form a basis of any of 18 the opinions in this case? Or any of the articles that 19 you've written? 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Certainly in articles and my testimony in lawsuits. And here the relevance is that 21 22 universally in my experience, and it's been fairly 23 extensive, I've never seen a mental health clinician in the 24 DDU recognize the types of problems that people get into in 25 solitary. It is recognized at least in some places in

- 1 Bridgewater. I've never seen it recognized in the DDU, even though it's occurring over and over again. 2 Dr. Grassian, do you have any knowledge of the mental 3 health services offered to inmates in the DDU in 2007? 2007 was a particularly bad year. 5 Yes. apparently gross dissatisfaction with the provider they had, 6 there was a change in providers, there was a substantial 7 period of time when they didn't have a full-time or regular 8 psychiatrist. They were understaffed with their mental 9 health clinicians. This all comes from depositions of one 10 11 of the defendant representatives. I can't remember which 12 one. Oh, it was Ms. Madden, I believe. Dr. Grassian, I would like now to talk about your 13 14 evaluation of Mr. Ford. 15 When did you first meet Mr. Ford? 16 As I mentioned, the first time was in actually April, I 17 believe, of 2007. 18 And how did you come to know him? Well, at the time he was represented by attorney Bernard 19 Grossberg and he himself had actually contacted me prior to 20 his release from prison asking if I would be available to 21 22 help him. I don't remember the exact nature of that 23 cooperation. 24 And did you meet with Mr. Ford in 2007?
 - A As I said, I met with him on three occasions, roughly

1 once a month. And did you perform an evaluation of Mr. Ford when you 2 met with him in 2007? 3 I did. What was the purpose of that evaluation? 5 The basic purpose of the evaluation was to assess his 6 7 adjustment to life outside of prison. Obviously there had been concerns raised about his, that he might be having a 8 high potential for violence, assaultive behavior. And so, I 9 was asked to evaluate whether he would, how he adjusted to 10 11 community life, because there was a request or an attempt to 12 have him be placed on probation and not have to be returned 13 to prison when he went back to court. 14 THE COURT: So he was out at the time that you met 15 him? 16 THE WITNESS: He had been released in like March of 17 2007 and remained out until late June. And I saw him many 18 times. 19 THE COURT: And that was the period of time you saw 20 him? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. 22 And can you briefly describe the result of your evaluation with Mr. Ford in 2007? 23 24 I mean, of course I did a history and found out a 25 But basically, this is a man who, despite the bad, the

bad criminal acts that he had engaged in, robberies and such, actually had a lot of strength in his premorbid adjustment prior to incarceration. Close to his mother, close to his church, a lot of friends, a lot of people liked him. And actually had a great career ahead of him it seemed, he was, he had scholarships to various good schools, USC, Boston College, Notre Dame, because of his excellence in football.

When he left prison in March, people who knew him for, since he was a kid, were really struck by a number of things. One, how symptomatic he was, how anxious he was. Two, how hard he was trying to overcome them. Especially Leona, who, I was very impressed with what Leona did to try to help him desensitize himself, things like being on a train or subway or bus, getting, you know, getting on an elevator, just gradually desensitizing, just, just go one stop, you know, then get off. And being with him when he would do it. That was really very helpful.

His bishop spoke about how, he could see how nervous he was when he went to church and he would sit in the back. And then gradually he was getting better and getting more involved. And he seemed to really enjoy doing good things for the church. He was working on the bishop's garden. The probation officer, I think his name was Banks, said he was very impressed with Mr. Ford's sincerity, how

- 1 hard, how much he wanted to convince, to tell people not to 2 follow the path he had taken and wanting to talk about the horrors of prison life. And Eva Clark had said the same 3 things.
 - So I was really struck, you know, struck by how symptomatic he was, how hard he was trying to get better, that he was making significant progress in that regard. And that people really thought a lot of him, his compassion for others, his remorse about what had happened, the sadness in his life.
- 11 And did you write down the results of your evaluation of 12 Mr. Ford in 2007?
- 13 Α Yes, I did.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 And how did you memorialize those?
- 15 Well, I was asked to do it in the form of a letter to 16 the judge who had determined whether he would be placed on 17 probation. It was Judge Fabricant.
- 18 After 2007 when was the next time you had contact with Mr. Ford? 19
- The next time I saw him. In person do you mean or --20 Α
- 21 The next time you saw him in person. Q
- 22 That would have been in February 2011. Α
- 23 And when were you retained as an expert in this case? Q
- 24 I believe it was in 2009. Α
- 25 And when you visited with Mr. Ford in February how long Q

1 did you spend with him? I believe it was about two hours. 2 And, Dr. Grassian, were you asked to offer an opinion 3 with regards to Mr. Ford in this case? 5 Yes. And what was that opinion you were asked to offer? 6 7 Basically, what was the impact of his having been returned to the DDU in June of 2007 as a pretrial detainee, 8 and then subsequent as a convicted person in April 2008. 9 Also, my recommendations regarding what kind of treatment he 10 11 would need after release from prison, and the question of a 12 need for a transition from the DDU into a general, more of a 13 general population setting prior to discharge back into the 14 community. 15 I would like to first focus on the time period during 16 which Mr. Ford was held in the DDU as a pretrial detainee. 17 In your opinion, did Mr. Ford experience harm from 18 his confinement in the DDU as a pretrial detainee? Severe harm. 19 Α And can you describe that harm? 20 Yes. As I said, Mr. Ford had discovered, not 21 22 surprisingly, but had discovered how symptomatic he really 23 was when he left prison in March 2007. The fear of people, 24 the inability to sit in the center of any room. He always

had to be near the wall. An inability to tolerate crowds,

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inability to tolerate noises, overreacting, very jumpy. All those things that I described earlier.

He was just starting to recover when, as I suggested, like a person who's had a severe concussion, he was just starting to recover there at their most vulnerable.

When he returned to prison -- he had been such a, he had always tried to be such a strong person and tolerating prison. But this time he was like a broken man. He was just broken. He had lost his strength. And just -well, actually, you know, there were times at night when he would be crying. You know, he just, he couldn't believe he was back. He couldn't, he couldn't, he just couldn't numb himself again. Instead, everything got through. noises, the clanging, everything got through. A person tried to hang himself within, I think the first week or so that he was there. And he just could not numb himself to it. He was frightened. What makes people go, what makes people do that. You know, he just -- he didn't know if that would happen to him. He lost his strength, his faith in himself. Very depressed. Very anxious and fearful. for a significant period of time he was kind of isolated himself. Whereas before he would occupy his time very actively, now he was just, often just lying in his bed doing nothing, often refusing to go out for exercise, refusing to see people in person. He saw for some period of time

```
1
      apparently his sister, and to my knowledge he still hasn't
      allowed his bishop to visit him, and so he feels so
2
      defeated, so ashamed.
 3
          Dr. Grassian, you mentioned that Mr. Ford was depressed.
      How did his depression manifest itself?
 5
          Well, as I said, he just, he was totally despondent.
 6
7
      didn't want to do anything. He didn't want to read.
      didn't want to do anything. He just couldn't bear to be
8
      where he was. He was tearful. He lost weight. He avoided
9
10
      people. He aged terribly.
          Did Mr. Ford experience any of the hypersensitivity to
11
12
      stimulation that you described earlier?
          Well, I think I did describe that. He no longer had the
13
14
      ability to numb himself to clanging, to keys, to water, let
15
      alone to shouts of inmates, to cell extractions, to people
16
      attempting to hurt themselves in the cells. He just had --
17
      he had no -- he lost that resilience, he lost that
18
      insulation. And everything was battering him.
          You also mentioned that Mr. Ford suffered from anxiety.
19
      Can you describe that anxiety as well?
20
          He had become -- well, of course, I think I had
21
22
      mentioned that even prior to his discharge from prison in
23
      2007 he had become quite anxious and actually had developed
24
      panic attacks, and finally, in 2006 had finally asked mental
25
      health for help and had been started on medication,
```

```
1
      primarily an antidepressant. But when he came back, the
      anxiety, the fearfulness was raw. You know, there was no
2
      longer that feeling of insulation, of numbing. You know,
 3
      the constant preoccupation am I going to go crazy, am I
 4
      going to survive this. Why do people go crazy here. And
 5
      then this tremendous anxiety he had about losing his health,
 6
      especially since he had a fairly severe Type I diabetes.
7
      And when he was in the DDU his blood sugars were terrible.
8
               MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. He is not a
9
10
      medical expert. He should not be opining on his diabetes,
11
      your Honor.
               THE COURT: Well, you are a medical doctor?
12
13
               THE WITNESS: Of course.
14
               THE COURT: But do we have his medical records?
                                                                 Ι
15
      mean, I assume that these are facts.
16
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Dr. Grassian has reviewed Mr.
17
      Ford's medical records which document his diabetes and his
18
      blood sugar levels during the relevant time period, and is
      testifying based on that knowledge as well as his
19
20
      conversations with Mr. Ford.
21
               MR. ANAHORY: They don't -- I don't believe they're
22
      submitting any medical records about his diabetes, your
23
      Honor.
24
               MS. CHAUDHARY: We're not submitting -- regardless
25
      of whether we're submitting them into evidence, they were
```

part of the materials Dr. Grassian reviewed in forming his opinions and were cited in his report.

THE COURT: I'll accept this for now, but I want to revisit it at the break.

THE WITNESS: When Mr. Ford had been out on bail, he had managed, he was very careful of his diet and his insulin and managed to maintain his blood sugars in the hundred range, which is good.

THE COURT: And how do you know that?

THE WITNESS: Well, that was -- that information is from him. The information about the blood sugar levels while he was in prison is from the medical record, as well as from him.

Oh, actually even from -- Dr. Katz's, even Dr.

Katz's report notes it as well, that he was running blood sugars in the three to four hundred. And I have treated patients with diabetes and patients in diabetic ketoacidosis which is a potentially lethal condition. It's well-known, any doctor would know that if you don't control the blood sugars meticulously, the less well controlled they are the quicker you're going to develop eye disease and blindness, cardiovascular disease, strokes, loss of limbs through small vessel disease, renal disease, and a diabetic peripheral neuropathy which he had developed apparently and worsened when he was reincarcerated. And these are all, these are

- 1 the complications of diabetes that result from inadequate control of the blood sugars. 2 Dr. Grassian, when Mr. Ford returned to the DDU in June 3 of 2007, to your knowledge did he keep in touch with his family and friends? 5 To my knowledge, initially he only kept in touch with 6 7 his sister, Leona. I don't know how much that increased. believe it did increase subsequently. I mentioned that he 8 still hasn't been in touch with the bishop to my knowledge. 9 10 But for the most part, I mean, he was clearly isolating himself. 11 12 And in your opinion, did that limited contact affect his 13 mental state? 14 Well, the limited social contact and limited contact in 15 other regards, of course, I mean, that's what I described as 16 causing the harm and impairment. But obviously he became 17 much, much more socially isolated and his readjustment to 18 the community is going to be much difficult as a result. He hasn't been in touch with some of these people in the four 19 years since he's been back in prison. 20 And when Mr. Ford returned to the DDU, did he regularly 21 22 leave his cell to use the exercise cages? 23 My understanding from Mr. Ford is that he hardly ever
 - Q And in your opinion did that limited exercise affect his

did for the first several months.

24

25

mental state?

A Yes. I mean, he was -- as I said that when people are in the DDU, unfortunately, they often start avoiding all stimulation. They start avoiding things. And in Mr. Ford's case there was a mixture of fear, severe depression, and just the inability to accept that he was back there.

THE COURT: Doctor, you saw him in 2007 when he was out and then the next time you saw him is 2011. So when you're reciting the effects in that period, after he went back in until you saw him, are you relying on written records? Are there reports of how often he went in to exercise, or are you relying on Mr. Ford's description?

THE WITNESS: In regard to these last questions,

I'm relying on two interviews, my interview with Mr. Ford

and my interview with his sister. I haven't actually seen

records, I don't know if there are, of how often he went out

to exercise. So I'm simply relying on his statement and his

sister. Because his sister actually visited him, you know,

after he got in in 2007 and saw him. So I spoke to her

about it as well.

- Q And what changes did Leona convey to you with regard to Mr. Ford?
- A Well, Leona said that when she saw him she was horrified that he had, looked so haggard, he had aged, he was so depressed, he had sort of given up. And she was very

1 frightened by that. Dr. Grassian, do you know whether Mr. Ford had ever been 2 housed in the DDU prior to 2007? 3 Yes. Α And how do you know then that when Mr. Ford was harmed 5 by his confinement in the DDU as a pretrial detainee that 6 7 harm was distinct from other times he had spent in the DDU? Well, we know basically what his experience was like 8 when he got out of prison, so that's the cumulation of where 9 he was at. As I said, Mr. Ford had, had a lot of strength 10 and he had tolerated his confinement better than most 11 12 people. He was starting to lose it a bit. He was becoming 13 symptomatic. But he hadn't totally, he hadn't despaired, he 14 hadn't kind of given up. He never had given up. But when 15 he came back in June of 2007 it was like he gave up. 16 gave up. He stopped insulating himself, he stopped numbing 17 himself, he stopped strengthening himself. He was just, he 18 was just raw. Was there a difference in your opinion in Mr. Ford's 19 state of mind or mental state in 2007 when you first met 20 with him to 2011, your last meeting with him? 21 22 When I met with him in 2011, I, too, I was kind Α Yes. 23 of, I was kind of shocked. Because when I saw Mr. Ford --24 MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. Again, he's 25 basing this on what he --

```
1
               THE COURT: Well, now he's testifying as to his
      personal observations in 2011. Right?
2
               MR. ANAHORY: Well, he didn't -- he's saying that
 3
      he saw him in 2007 and then again in 2011. I mean --
 4
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 5
          When I saw Mr. Ford in 2011 I was shocked. He just --
 6
7
      he had been a strong person. He was -- when I saw him in
      2011 he looked so much older. He -- just start with the
8
      slumped shoulders, soft voice, slow. A man who was just
9
      utterly defeated. I had never seen him like that before.
10
      And it was -- you know, it really had a very significant
11
12
      impact. It was quite obvious how much he had changed.
13
          Dr. Grassian, in your opinion was Mr. Ford's return to
14
      the DDU as a pretrial detainee harmful to his mental state?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          I would like to now turn to Mr. Ford's confinement in
17
      the DDU as a convicted inmate.
18
               Did the symptoms you've described subside after
19
      Mr. Ford was sentenced in April of 2008?
20
          No.
      Α
          Can you describe, can you describe Mr. Ford's mental
21
22
      state after April of 2008?
23
               MR. ANAHORY: Objection, your Honor. That's not an
24
      issue here today.
               THE COURT: It's important to me. Overruled.
25
```

I'm not aware of whether Mr. Ford's mental state continued to further deteriorate after he was, after he was sentenced in 2008. My best understanding of it as best as I can, as I can understand it, is that it did not appreciably change, that he was, what I described, and that continued to be the case pretty much up to the present. I would like to focus now on your opinions with regards to Mr. Ford's transition.

THE COURT: Before you go there. In your experience, I'm not limiting this to Mr. Ford in particular, the length of time in the DDU, does that affect the impact on an inmate's mental health, or do they sort of reach a low point and the low point continues?

THE WITNESS: That is such an excellent question.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: No, because -- I don't mean to just compliment you. Because I really feel strongly that Departments of Correction have an obligation to study that over wide, in a large sample of people.

My sense is that the longer you're in the DDU the worse it gets. But I don't feel like I have a sufficiently large sample to be, to really be clear about that. You know, I've mentioned that I actually had been consulted by this firm doing research for the Department of Corrections about the effects of DDU, and these are some of the

1 questions that needed absolutely to be answered. THE COURT: 2 Thank you. Dr. Grassian, do you have -- you have experience 3 evaluating and treating inmates who have been released 4 directly from segregated confinement into the community? 5 I have. 6 And can you describe that experience for those inmates? 7 I have very, I have developed very, very strong 8 opinions about that. You know, when you leave somebody in 9 segregated confinement and you release them back into the 10 11 community directly, you're not getting tough on crime, 12 you're getting tough on the community. You've succeeded in 13 making that individual as incapable as you can of 14 functioning in the community, and then you release them 15 right back into it. It's just -- it makes, it could make no 16 sense. I mean, these folks do not function well when 17 they're released back in the community. I've seen horrible 18 things happen. Horrible and predictable. Is there a common set of problems these inmates share 19 when they're released from segregated confinement? 20 They're intolerant to stimulation. They become 21 22 isolated, loaners, irritable, ashamed. They're ashamed 23 because they don't even know how to function in the real 24 world anymore. 25 I can give you examples for Mr. Ford. One, there's

a two foot rule in prison. You know, you have to stay at least two feet away from another person. Well, one time he's waiting to walk into a, I think it was a Store 24, one of those kind of places, and this woman wants to go. So he walks away from her instead of holding the door for her. You know. And his sister looks at him, like, what are you doing. And then he realizes there's no two foot rule here, I should have held the door for her. And he's embarrassed by it.

There's so many things like that that happen all the time. So people, you know, it's very hard not to become irritable, alone, angry, paranoid, fearful, and just overwhelmed by stimulation. You can't function. To me it makes no penologic sense whatsoever.

- Q And how does an inmate typically respond, or excuse me, how does an individual when they're released from segregated confinement directly into the community typically respond to environmental stimulation?
- A They, they are hyperresponsive to it. They don't know how to tolerate it. People tend to literally take to their bed, get away. They don't want to hear anything. They can't -- they don't know how to be with people. They don't know how to be with ordinary noises, with ordinary, the clang and chatter of life.
- Q And do you think Mr. Ford will experience these

1 difficulties when he's released from the DDU? To some extent, of course. 2 3 Why? Q Well, they're inevitable. I mean, I've never seen anyone who didn't describe just those kinds of symptoms. 5 And did Mr. Ford experience these difficulties when he 6 Q 7 was out in the community in 2007? He experienced them, yes. 8 And in your opinion, is Mr. Ford's mental state now 9 similar to his mental state when he was released into the 10 community in 2007? 11 12 I think his mental state is far more troubled and raw 13 than it was then. You know, he really doesn't have the 14 strength, the resilience that he had back in 2007. 15 Q What can be done, if anything, to assist Mr. Ford with 16 his transition into the community? 17 Well, the most important thing I think is for him to 18 have a period of transition. And it's kind of in a sense common sense. You've got to put him back with people, in a 19 20 general population type of setting. You have to give him initially the opportunity to be alone in a cell for as much 21 22 of the day as he needs to be, have somebody help him, 23 somebody counsel him. You know, how much can you do, you 24 know, help him set targets and gradually reintroduce himself to stimulation, to activity, to people, but being able to 25

```
1
      sort of retreat back to the cell when it's too much.
      know, to start out slow and hopefully get better over time.
2
          And should Mr. Ford be immediately placed in a double
 3
      cell with another inmate if he's moved from the DDU?
          I can't imagine a worse thing to do to someone who's
 5
      been in the DDU than to double cell him. Double celling in
 6
7
      the best of circumstances is horrendously difficult for
      people in solitary confinement, and if they've been in
8
      solitary confinement. Remember, they're having such trouble
9
      tolerating stimulation, tolerating social stimulation.
10
11
      Imagine not being able to get away from it. It makes no
      sense at all.
12
13
          And if Mr. Ford is not transitioned in some respect
14
      while he's incarcerated before he's released, how will that
15
      affect his ultimate release into the community?
16
          It's going to be much, much more difficult. He's going
17
      to be much more symptomatic, have much less coping skills.
18
      You're setting him up for far more severe trouble and, you
      know, not giving him the skills that he might, that he's
19
20
      going to desperately need when he gets out.
          And can you describe what Mr. Ford's psychological needs
21
      will be after he's released from incarceration?
22
23
          Well, his psychological needs, his needs for mental
24
      health treatment, I believe are going to be far greater than
      they would have been in 2007 because of how defeated he is,
25
```

how much of his strength he's lost. What I think would make sense for him would be to be with someone, not in some crazy psychoanalytically oriented program to study his childhood or something of that sort, but someone to help him in a concrete way to cope and to set goals and to just understand what he's going through.

I would suggest that in a situation like that twice weekly sessions. But it's my habit in situations like this where people are having trouble coping on a daily basis, I would like to be in touch with them every day, usually by e-mail or phone. But just to help, you know, set goals for today, try to, you know, then you find out if they've reached them. It doesn't take a lot of the therapist's time. I think it's extremely important.

Q Would any other programs or services be beneficial to Mr. Ford's transition?

A I don't know what kind of programs or services are available. And unfortunately, I think they're very limited. I mean, one would be delighted to see a group program for people in similar situations. Because one of the things that happens is that the person feels terminally unique. You know, they feel very ashamed of themselves because of the difficulties they're having. So, it would be great if there were a group program, you know, a group of people who had been released from prison. I think I had mentioned to

```
1
      you that one of the folks who was actually wrongfully
      convicted of murder in New Orleans, I think you've heard of
 2
      him, started a program to help people transition back from
 3
      prison and back into the community. I thought that was
 4
      wonderful.
 5
          And, Dr. Grassian, would some degree of financial
 6
      security assist Mr. Ford in his transition to the community
 7
      as well?
 8
          I, I think that he's going to have difficulty for some
 9
10
      period of time and being able to earn a good income,
      because, you know, reasonable income because of the
11
12
      impairments he has. So, yes, I think that some economic
13
      systems would be enormously helpful and important.
14
      Q
          Thank you, Dr. Grassian.
15
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Nothing further.
16
               THE COURT: I think it's best that we take the
17
      morning break now and then we'll do cross-examination.
18
               Okay, ten minute break.
19
               MS. PIROZZOLO: Thank you, your Honor.
20
               THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
21
                (Recess.)
22
               THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated.
23
               THE COURT: Cross-examination?
24
               MR. ANAHORY: Thank you, your Honor.
25
```

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. ANAHORY Dr. Grassian, you testified today that you testified in 3 a previous matter in Massachusetts regarding the Department 4 Disciplinary Unit. Is that true? 5 Yes. 6 Α 7 And was that matter the Torres matter? That was definitely the Torres matter. I believe, I 8 believe I may have testified in other cases as well. 9 But you did testify in --10 Q 11 I don't remember. Yes. 12 You did testify in the Torres matter. 13 And are you aware, Doctor, that in Torres the 14 Supreme Judicial Court found that the conditions of 15 confinement in the Department Disciplinary Unit was 16 constitutional? 17 In that it didn't violate the Eighth Amendment, yes. 18 Doctor, you're not an expert in prison security, are 19 you? 20 No. Α You testified that you, you visited with Mr. Ford a 21 total of four times; is that correct? 22 23 Yes. Α 24 And that was three times between April 23rd and June 18th in 2007; is that correct? 25

```
1
          That sounds about right.
 2
          And then you met, the next time you met with him was
      February of 2011?
 3
          That's correct.
 4
          And after you visited with him in 2007 you wrote a
 5
      report or a letter to Judge Fabricant?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes.
         And she was presiding over his criminal case in Norfolk
 8
      Superior Court?
 9
10
          I assume so, yes.
11
               MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, I would like to mark into
12
      evidence for identification purposes, I believe Defendant's
13
      Exhibit 11.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. Is this an objected to exhibit?
15
               MS. CHAUDHARY: No, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: No objection? All right. So, let's
17
      just mark it as Exhibit 11.
18
               MR. ANAHORY: Thank you, your Honor.
19
               THE CLERK: Do you have an extra copy for the
20
      judge?
               THE COURT: Is it in the book or do I have an extra
21
22
      copy?
23
               MR. ANAHORY: I don't think it's in the book, your
24
      Honor.
25
               (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
```

1 Doctor, if you could look at that exhibit, please. Q Uh-huh. 2 Α Do you recognize that? 3 I do. Α And what is it? 5 This is the letter you alluded to earlier that I wrote 6 7 to Judge Fabricant. Is that a copy of it? Is it an accurate copy of your 8 letter? 9 10 It appears to be so, yes. Α And you authored this report? 11 Q 12 I did. 13 And do you recall stating in your deposition that this letter was another basis for the opinions rendered in this 14 15 case? 16 I don't recall stating that the letter itself is the 17 basis. But the information in the letter was --18 If I show you the deposition would that refresh your 19 recollection? 20 Again, I don't know I would have said the letter, but the information that's in the letter is certainly the basis 21 22 of my opinion. 23 MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, may I approach the 24 witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

25

- 1 Looking at line 6. The question's here. Q The question is are these the three opinions you 2 rendered in your first report. And then on line 6 it says 3 the only other Ford specific information provided prior to 4 2011 is in the Judge Fabricant letter. And that would be 5 another basis for opinions that was rendered in this case. 6 So you did -- it was a basis for your opinion? 7 Q Again, that was, it's --8 THE COURT: As I understand it the facts that you 9 described in the letter in 2007 you relied on in --10 11 THE WITNESS: Not exactly. The same information. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 Q Isn't it true in this report you do not opine that 14 Mr. Ford suffered emotional harm based upon at what point 15 was two months' pretrial confinement in the DDU? 16 I make no such opinion, correct. 17 And you didn't opine in this report that prior to 18 leaving prison he was panicky or he had anxiety attacks like you testified today; isn't that true? 19 I don't recall. I would trust that you're correct. 20 And you never opined that Mr. Ford was in any -- you 21 22 testified earlier that there's a spectrum of harm that 23 individuals suffer from confinement in the DDU. Isn't that
- 24 true?25 A Yes.

1 Q And you don't opine in that report that Mr. Ford fell in any of that spectrum, do you? 2 That's not correct. 3 Α You opine such in that report? 5 Yes. Α Can you show me where? 6 7 Α Certainly. If you look at my description of how he was managing his anxiety, the difficulty being on the MBTA or 8 elevators, his nervousness around people, all of that is 9 stuff that he had when he was discharged from prison. 10 But I believe, I believe my question was during the time 11 12 that he was incarcerated, you didn't opine that he suffered 13 from that emotional harm or that he was in that spectrum? 14 I think you're incorrect again. I mean, I indicated, if 15 you look at the July 2002 incident, or even before, that he 16 was already becoming symptomatic. And then his adjustments 17 since release from prison, at first quite typically he was 18 exceedingly apprehensive, easily overwhelmed by stimuli and especially by being with other people. I mean, I go on and 19 20 So that's, all of that, I mean, clearly by implication is a result of his confinement and his, his coping with the 21 22 symptomatology that remains. So you're incorrect. 23 So, you didn't opine in that report either that he 24 needed, he was in need of intensive therapy to mitigate the 25 impacts of confinement in the DDU in 2007, did you?

```
1
          I made no opinion whatsoever about his treatment.
                                                                There
 2
      was no opinion.
          And in 2007 you reviewed the records, correct?
 3
          Yes.
      Α
          How long had Mr. Ford been in segregated confinement up
 5
      until that point?
 6
          A very, very long time.
 7
      Α
          Over ten years?
 8
          I believe it's significantly more than that.
 9
          Over 20 years he was in segregated confinement?
10
      0
          I don't know specifically, but something of that sort.
11
      Α
12
          And there was no opinion that he needed this therapy
      Q
13
      based on those 20 years of segregated confinement, correct?
          The letter didn't address that issue. It wasn't
14
      Α
      intended to address the issue of treatment.
15
16
      Q
          My question was is it in that letter?
17
          My answer is no, and nor was it --
18
          Thank you.
      Q
          -- relevant to the letter.
19
          And the purposes of -- you also didn't opine in there
20
      that he needed twice weekly therapeutic appointments for
21
22
      five to six years, did you?
23
          I believe my last answer incorporates your next
24
      question. There's no opinion regarding treatment
25
      whatsoever.
```

1 And what was the purpose of this report? I believe you testified earlier it was to probation? 2 3 Α Correct. What criteria do you look at when you opine as to whether someone's appropriate for probation? 5 In this letter I wrote what I thought was relevant that 6 the judge should consider. I don't have any routine because 7 I don't do this commonly. But I, I felt the important task 8 was to describe his adjustments after he got out of prison. 9 10 So you had no set criteria that you were following, just a feeling? 11 12 I mean, how he was getting along with people, what 13 types of activities he was engaging in. I mean, those 14 aren't feelings, those are kind of obvious things to 15 consider in preparing a report like this. 16 So wouldn't a person who is on probation and who suffers 17 from the emotional stress that you feel that Mr. Ford 18 suffers from, wouldn't that person benefit from treatment while on probation? 19 20 Absolutely. 21 And wouldn't that person benefit from some sort of --22 I'm going to strike that. 23 Mr. Ford self-reported to you that he was having an 24 easier time getting on trains, he was able to ride 25 elevators, and he was letting his guard down around people

```
1
      whom he didn't know well while he was, during that two
 2
      months that he was out on probation. Isn't that true?
          Some of the statements there are self-reported from Mr.
 3
      Ford, some are reports from Leona, some from the other
 4
      people who had been with him. I can't recall which
 5
      statement came from which source.
 6
 7
          He also told you that he had reconnected with old
      friends who were doing well. Isn't that true?
 8
 9
          Again, I cannot at this moment without reviewing the
      document remember what the source was. But, yes, the
10
11
      statement itself was in the letter.
12
          In any event, it was either from Mr. Ford or from those
13
      people?
14
      Α
          Correct.
15
          He made all these improvements without the intensive
16
      therapy that you recommended in the supplemental report,
17
      didn't he?
18
          Yes.
      Α
          Dr. Grassian, in this letter you also provide, provided
19
20
      Judge Fabricant with a social history for Mr. Ford; isn't
      that correct?
21
22
      Α
          Yes.
23
          And in this case you provided the judge with information
24
      that states Mr. Ford was leading two separate lives, didn't
25
      you?
```

```
1
          Yes.
      Α
          On the one hand he was a popular star athlete that he
 2
      self described as king of the school?
 3
          Yes.
      Α
          On the other hand, he was doing wild things with his
 5
      project friends which included robbing stores for money;
 6
      isn't that true?
 7
          That's almost a direct quote, I believe, from my letter.
 8
          Didn't it trouble you that Mr. Ford in providing you
 9
      with this information didn't accept responsibility for any
10
      of this, his actions?
11
12
          That's entirely incorrect.
13
          Okay. For instance, it wasn't Mr. Ford's fault that he
14
      was convicted and imprisoned in Concord after he got caught
15
      robbing a grocery -- jewelry store, rather it was the
16
      football coach's fault for not coming to his aid as he had
17
      in the past when it was, when he still had football
18
      eligibility left. Isn't that in that report?
19
          No.
      Α
          That's not in that report?
20
      0
          That is, that is not correct. That is not correct.
21
22
      That's a misstatement of what I wrote.
23
          It wasn't Mr. Ford's fault that he lost his purported
24
      athletic scholarship, again it was the coach's fault for not
```

coming to his aid when he was arrested for robbery?

- 1 I think at this point you're testifying, you're not --I'm reading what's in the report. 2 No, you're not reading what's in the report. You're 3 The report doesn't state that. The report shows, states how much remorse he felt and how much he wanted to 5 help other people not follow in his footsteps. The report's 6 7 very clear on that. Doctor, why don't you just answer the question yes or 8 no, it's not in the report. You don't need to add to it. 9 It wasn't Mr. Ford's fault that he didn't study in 10 school, it was his coach's fault for giving him B's and C's 11 12 even though he didn't put the effort in to studying. 13 that true? 14 That -- my report doesn't state that. You're stating 15 it, but the report doesn't state it. 16 It doesn't state that he was given B's and C's? 17 THE COURT: Counsel, is there something in 18 particular I should read here, or should I just take a 19 moment and read the report? 20 MR. ANAHORY: Yes, your Honor, I would ask that you
 - MR. ANAHORY: Yes, your Honor, I would ask that you read on the third page, there's an indented paragraph.
 - THE COURT: Why doesn't the witness do that himself.
 - Q Doctor, could you read that, please.

21

22

23

24

25 | A He was very popular in school and as a star athlete he

1 was --THE COURT: All right, I'm sorry, you didn't need 2 to read it out loud for me. 3 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 4 5 THE COURT: I can read it. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 6 7 Q Why don't you read it out loud actually. THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 If you would, Doctor. 9 Q King of the school. I didn't have to take a test, 10 didn't have to do anything. Most of us were football 11 12 players. We would hang around the teachers and the 13 basketball coach was my history teacher, track coach was my 14 math teacher, the football coach was my teacher. I would 15 receive B's and C's and I didn't learn anything, didn't even 16 have to open a book. So he had good relationships with 17 these coaches and friends in school. 18 Okay. Doctor, I'm going to ask you to read the next paragraph that starts with "But." 19 But he also had another group of friends from the 20 projects and he joined them in doing a lot of wrong things, 21 22 including robbing stores for money. Occasionally he got 23 caught but no one was even ever hurt in these episodes. 24 football coach knew someone in the Malden District Court and 25 the judge would always hand out the same sentence,

1 probation, probation, probation. I thought I had a license to do anything. 2 And can you continue reading, please. 3 Sure. Then came senior year, football season was over. 5 Albert had college scholarship offers and was getting ready to choose, then he got caught again robbing a jewelry store. 6 7 Suddenly it was superior court, not district court. Suddenly, his coach said that he could not help him this 8 time. Suddenly, there was no one there to rescue him in 9 court. He was convicted and imprisoned. His football 10 11 scholarships and college acceptances were gone. He was stunned, betrayed. Indeed, he still wonders whether his 12 13 football coach would have done something more for him if he 14 had not been graduating, if he were instead coming back to 15 play football at the high school the following year. 16 Thank you, Doctor. 17 So, again, I ask you, it wasn't his fault that he 18 committed these crimes, rather it was the fault that people didn't come to his aid. Isn't what that reads, Doctor? 19 20 Only to you, sir, not to me. The report states quite 21 clearly --22 Okay, Doctor, thank you. 23 -- otherwise. 24 It wasn't Mr. Ford's fault that he committed the crimes, rather it was the fault of his project friends who had a 25

```
1
      negative influence on him.
                                   Isn't that true?
          That is not what the report says and I don't agree with
 2
      the statement.
 3
          You also stated that you reviewed the department records
 4
      regarding, regarding Albert Ford up until 2007; isn't that
 5
      true?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes.
          And do you recall reading the incident regarding the
 8
      hostage taking and the staff assault?
 9
          Do you mean the 2002 incident?
10
      Α
11
      Q
          Yes.
12
          Yes.
      Α
13
      Q
          You read about that?
14
      Α
          I did.
          Okay. And Mr. Ford's lack of responsibility for his
15
16
      actions in this, in this instance is also evident; isn't
17
      that true?
18
          I'm sorry, is it evident? What are you saying, sir?
          He, he didn't take responsibility fully for that action,
19
20
      did he?
21
          I'm not sure exactly what you mean.
22
          Well, let me ask you this.
      Q
23
          I described what I believe happened in that incident.
24
          Let me ask you this. Mr. Ford self-reported to you that
      after he dropped his insulin needle and bent down to pick it
25
```

```
1
      up the nurse thought he was falling and tried to hold him
 2
      up.
          Right.
 3
      Α
          Did you write that?
 4
           I did.
 5
      Α
          And by doing that the nurse touched Mr. Ford?
 6
 7
      Α
          Right.
           Which triggered a reaction in Mr. Ford that led him to
 8
      swing, to swing his arm, grab a shank and swing his arm?
 9
           That's correct.
10
      Α
11
           Isn't that what you say in there?
      Q
12
          Correct.
      Α
13
          And then you say that in swinging the shank at a
14
      correction officer he superficially stabbed him?
15
      Α
          Right.
16
      Q
          He suffered a superficial wound?
17
          Two actually in his back.
18
      Q
          And then he held the knife at the nurse's throat,
19
      correct?
20
           Yes.
      Α
           Well, the department records paint a different picture,
21
22
      don't they?
23
          Not to my knowledge.
24
          You don't recall reading in the department records that
       it was two officers who were stabbed by Mr. Ford, not one?
25
```

- A There was one officer who suffered an abrasion and one who was stabbed in the back. Two stab wounds.
- Q So, is it now your testimony that there were two officers that were injured in this report? In this incident?
- A I'm sorry, I stated that one officer suffered an abrasion.
- 8 Q But you neglected to tell Judge Fabricant that there
 9 were two officers involved in that report; isn't that true?
- 10 A I would trust that Judge Fabricant would have all those,
- 11 all those details.
- 12 **Q** But you didn't put that in your report, did you?
- 13 A I don't recall. If you say so --
- 14 | Q Do you want to look at it and tell me if --
- 15 A No, I would certainly believe you.
- 16 Q Okay. And the incident reports that the officers state
- are a much different story than what Mr. Ford told you;
- 18 isn't that true?
- 19 A Not to my recollection, no.
- 20 Q Don't those records reflect that Mr. Ford stabbed the
- officers after they were attempting to reposition his
- 22 handcuffs rather than in response to the nurse touching him?
- 23 A Well, I believe those actions occurred at the same time,
- 24 didn't they?
- 25 Q Don't they also reflect that Mr. Ford swung his knife at

```
1
      the nurse, attempting to stab him in the head and neck area?
          I don't recall that. He held a knife to the nurse's
 2
      neck but did not, had the opportunity but did not actually
 3
      stab him.
 4
          So you neglected, either you neglected or you didn't
 5
      read that information in the department's records?
 6
 7
      Α
          I don't specifically recall that statement.
          Okay. Is it your practice to accept self reports as
 8
      0
      accurate without attempting to independently verify them?
 9
          No, it wasn't even my practice in this particular report
10
      Α
      since obviously I was interviewing a whole number of people.
11
12
          Did you dismiss these records because they were authored
13
      by corrections personnel?
14
          I'm not clear that I ever stated I dismissed any
15
      records.
16
          But none of what I told you is in your report, correct?
17
          What's in my report is in my report. I think it spoke
18
      to his mental state at the time of this incident. And I
      believe it's a reasonably accurate statement of his mental
19
20
      status at the time of the incident, and I don't believe
      there's anything in any report by the corrections officers
21
22
      that contradicts or goes against that being his mental state
23
      at the time of the incident.
24
          Doctor, if I show you the department's investigation --
25
               MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, if I could have a moment,
```

1 please? THE COURT: Yes. 2 Doctor, if I show you the department's investigation 3 Q regarding the incident, would that refresh your 4 recollection? 5 I can't say without having seen it. 6 MR. ANAHORY: May I approach, your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 Could you read that report. And for the record, this is 9 the report of the nurse who was assaulted. 10 Would you read that. 11 12 Sure. On Monday, July 1 --13 MS. CHAUDHARY: Objection. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. Are you moving it into evidence? 15 16 MR. ANAHORY: No, your Honor, I was hoping that he 17 would refresh his recollection with it. 18 You don't have to read it out loud, sir, just read it to 19 yourself. 20 THE COURT: You can use it to refresh your recollection but not to -- recollection of what? What 21 doesn't he remember? 22 23 MR. ANAHORY: Well, he said he reviewed the 24 reports, your Honor, and yet he failed to put in some 25 information that the nurse states in his incident report

1 regarding the incident and he doesn't put it in his report. And when I asked him about it, he indicated that he, to the 2 best of his ability or to the best of his recollection he 3 put in what was, how the incident occurred. 4 If you reviewed the report, would that 5 THE COURT: refresh your recollection as to whether you considered the 6 7 nurse's statement when you wrote your report? THE WITNESS: Yes, actually it's reasonably 8 consistent with what I wrote. 9 Well, in this report doesn't the nurse state that 10 0 Mr. Ford lunged at him twice with a shank? 11 12 I think the -- I could read it again. But what the 13 nurse states is that he thought that Mr. Ford had lost his 14 balance and he tried to grab him and then Mr. Ford lunged at 15 him, which is very, entirely consistent with what I wrote. 16 You didn't write in your report that Mr. Ford stabbed 17 him twice or lunged at --18 MS. CHAUDHARY: Your Honor, I would like to move to strike the comments of the, the recitation of the report 19 20 involving the stabbing, or the lunging. THE COURT: Sustained. 21 22 MR. ANAHORY: Thank you, your Honor. 23 Don't you think that the information regarding the 24 report as detailed by department staff would have been 25 information that Judge Fabricant would have needed to have

```
1
      to place Mr. Ford in the community out on probation?
                 I'm sorry, I --
2
          What?
               THE COURT: Could you just explain to me what you
 3
      were doing here? What was the purpose of this letter to
 4
      Judge Fabricant?
5
               THE WITNESS: There was an attempt -- apparently
6
7
      Mr. Grossberg had spoken with the judge, the judge was
      leaning towards affording him probation and wants to know
8
      how he --
9
10
               THE COURT: This is when he's charged -- I'm sorry,
      I just don't have the chronology in my head --
11
12
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: -- as you all do.
14
               So he's now charged criminally with whatever
15
      happened in prison in 2002?
16
               THE WITNESS: That's correct.
17
               THE COURT: And we're up to 2007 and what should
18
      happen, because he's now served, his prior sentence is now
19
      done.
20
               MR. ANAHORY: Yes.
               THE COURT: And the question is what's happening
21
22
      pretrial for the charge --
23
               THE WITNESS: A 2002 charge.
24
               THE COURT: -- that arose out of this hostage
      situation.
25
```

```
1
               THE WITNESS: Right.
               THE COURT: And you're hired by?
 2
               THE WITNESS: Mr. Grossberg.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. So you're hired on behalf of
 4
 5
      Mr. Ford to make a presentation to the Court on probation,
      on pretrial release or -- is that what we're talking about?
6
7
               MR. ANAHORY: Probation, your Honor.
               THE WITNESS: Whether he would be allowed to be on
8
      probation. And so, I was commenting, my report was about
9
      his adjustment to life after he had been released in
10
11
      March 2007.
12
               THE COURT: All right. But it's what's going to
      happen to him pending his trial on the --
13
14
               THE WITNESS: No, it was actually -- the
15
      expectation was that in June the judge would allow him to
16
      plead and be placed on probation and not serve anymore
17
      prison time.
18
               THE COURT: So we're dealing now with the
      sentencing for this event.
19
20
               MR. ANAHORY: Yes, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
22
               THE WITNESS: On a plea, a plea --
23
               THE COURT: If there is a guilty plea this is
24
      what's going to happen.
25
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: You're dealing now with the sentence.
               THE WITNESS:
 2
                              Exactly.
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 3
                                   Thank you.
          So, Doctor, in opining on Mr. Ford's suitability for
      probation you state that he was being compassionate, kind,
 5
      friendly and respectful and that these were not attributes
 6
      springing anew in Mr. Ford; isn't that correct?
 7
          Well, some of what you just cited was actually
 8
      quotations from people I interviewed.
 9
10
          You put that in your report to the judge?
      Q
          I put that in quotations, yes.
11
          So where were these attributes when he was stabbing two
12
13
      correction officers and swinging the shank at the nurse's
      head when he --
14
15
               MS. CHAUDHARY:
                                Objection.
16
               THE COURT: Sustained.
17
          Where were these attributes when he was stabbing the
18
      inmate at MCI-Norfolk's chow hall when he violated what you
19
      described as the two foot rule?
20
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Objection.
               THE COURT: No, I'm not, I'm not understanding.
21
22
      Can I see counsel, please.
23
     SIDEBAR CONFERENCE, AS FOLLOWS:
24
                THE COURT: Are you trying to put in his
      disciplinary records? I mean, if they're in evidence then
25
```

1 he can talk about it. If there's an objection to them coming in you can object and you can give a limited basis to 2 the question in this form. 3 MR. ANAHORY: Well, the purpose, your Honor, is to 4 show that -- I'm trying to impeach the witness by something 5 that he wrote before here closer to the time of 2007. 6 7 THE COURT: So why don't you ask him if he considered in forming this opinion certain events without 8 editorial comments. If you want to get into the details of 9 the comments, of the events, then you need to give me some 10 11 basis for it. 12 MR. ANAHORY: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Not your description of it. Okay? And 14 then you can either object to it or not. But at least I'll 15 know what we're talking about. 16 MR. ANAHORY: Thank you, your Honor. 17 (Whereupon the sidebar conference concluded.) 18 BY MR. ANAHORY 19 Dr. Grassian, you submitted two expert reports in this matter; isn't that correct? 20 21 Yes. Α 22 And your first report was submitted on June 17th, 2009? 23 I take your word for that. I don't remember the exact 24 date. 25 And in this report you indicated that there were, of

1 course, substantial differences in the effects of solitary confinement upon different individuals, correct? 2 3 Α Yes. Yes. And in this report you failed to opine whether or not Mr. Ford suffered from mental illness or whether he suffered 5 any emotional distress attributed to either his stay in 6 7 segregated confinement throughout his period of incarceration up until that point; isn't that true? 8 There was nothing at all that was specific to Mr. Ford 9 10 in that report. And you also reported in that report that people who 11 12 suffer from, people who are housed in segregated confinement 13 have abnormal EEG readings; isn't that true? 14 Α Yes, that's been, that's been shown and published in the 15 American Journal of Psychiatry. 16 Q You never tested Mr. Ford's EEG readings, did you? 17 Clearly not. Α 18 And as you said you never, you never interviewed him and Q you didn't interview him in 2008 or in 2009, did you? 19 20 No, I did not. Α No. And in 2009, Doctor, you didn't state that Mr. Ford's 21 22 placement in the DDU was like he suffered a concussion which 23 you testified to today, did you? 24 I believe I've answered that question by stating that my

2009 report had absolutely no specific mention of Mr. Ford.

1 So, in 2009 you didn't, you didn't put in your report what you testified to today? 2 I actually put in nothing about Mr. Ford at all. 3 You didn't write that he was depressed, despondent, didn't want to read, didn't want to read, didn't want to do 5 anything? 6 7 I believe that's a subset of the question I already answered, which is no, I did not write about Mr. Ford at 8 all, not specifically. 9 And you wrote a second report in this matter on 10 11 April 22nd, 2011, correct? 12 Yes. Α 13 And in 2011, despite not opining to Judge Fabricant on 14 June 22nd, 2007, or to this Court on June 17th, 2009, you 15 opined in this report, the 2011 report, the supplemental 16 report, that Mr. Ford is now suffering from significant 17 trauma and harm from his prolonged solitary confinement in 18 the DDU since January of 2007, correct? I'm confused. You seem to be indicating that despite my 19 not having commented about --20 I'm asking you, Doctor. Let me ask the question. 21 22 THE COURT: If you don't understand the question 23 just say you don't understand it and he'll rephrase it. 24 I'm not quite sure I --

Q In 2007 you didn't say Mr. Ford had significant trauma

```
1
      or, as you testified today, severe harm?
 2
      Α
          No.
          And in 2009 you didn't?
 3
          Exactly.
      Α
          And those reports were closer to the period in question,
 5
      2007, the pretrial hearing?
 6
          They address different issues.
 7
      Α
          But those reports were closer to that period of time;
 8
      isn't that true, Doctor?
 9
10
      Α
          Yes.
11
          And in 2011 you met with Mr. Ford for about an hour
12
      and-a-half in February, correct?
13
          I'm not sure, an hour and-a-half, two hours, something
14
      like that.
15
          And you reviewed the same records that you reviewed in
16
      2007; isn't that correct?
17
               There was some additional records. There was some
18
      updated mental health records. There was, of course, all
19
      the deposition transcripts, Dr. Katz's report and
20
      deposition, the, the interview with Leona Ford in 2011.
          That's not what you said in your deposition, is it?
21
22
          I would hope it was. I mean, I'm not sure what you're
23
      referring to.
24
          If I show you the deposition --
25
          I'm sorry?
      Α
```

```
1
           -- would that refresh your recollection?
          What?
 2
          If I show you the deposition would it refresh your
 3
      recollection that you testified that the only records you
      reviewed were the ones from 2007?
 5
          No, that would have been incorrect then.
 6
 7
         You were incorrect in the deposition?
         I must have been.
 8
               MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, I would like to have
 9
      another exhibit marked.
10
                THE COURT: Show it to counsel, see if it's
11
12
      objected to.
13
               MS. CHAUDHARY: We object to this.
14
               MR. ANAHORY: I still would like to mark it for
15
      identification purposes.
16
                THE COURT: It is marked as Exhibit A for
17
      identification.
18
               MS. CHAUDHARY: We object to it based on relevance.
19
      Relevance.
                THE COURT: On the basis of relevance. Can I see
20
21
      it.
22
                Is there any authentication issues with this?
23
               MR. ANAHORY: I don't believe so, your Honor.
24
               MS. CHAUDHARY:
                                No.
25
               MR. ANAHORY: We would ask that this be entered
```

```
1
      into evidence. It certainly goes to bias. We also feel it
      is relevant, your Honor, and it shows a bias towards, this
2
      witness towards Mr. Ford and against the Department of
 3
      Corrections.
 4
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Your Honor, this is a
 5
      correspondence between Dr. Grassian and Mr. Ford that has no
6
      relationship to this case. He did not use it in forming any
7
      of his opinions, did not rely on it and cite to it in any of
8
      his reports. To the extent that there's questions about
9
      bias, counsel can ask him about, ask about Dr. Grassian's
10
11
      bias without seeking admission of this, of this --
12
               THE COURT: The objection is overruled. We'll mark
13
      this as Exhibit 12.
14
               MR. ANAHORY:
                              Thank you, your Honor.
               (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
15
16
               MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, may I approach the
17
      witness?
18
               THE COURT: Let's have it marked.
19
               MR. ANAHORY: Thank you.
20
          Doctor, I'm showing you Exhibit 12. Do you recognize
      Q
      this?
21
22
      Α
          Yes.
23
          Would you state to the Court what it is?
      Q
24
          It's a letter I wrote Mr. Ford while he was in the DDU.
      Α
25
          Can you read the second paragraph of the letter to the
```

1 Court, please? I want you to know how strongly I feel you were 2 Sure. wronged in this recent criminal case and how vigorously I 3 would have testified in your behalf if the matter had gone 4 In my opinion there's a quality of meanness and 5 vindictiveness that underlay this prosecution against you. 6 7 I've seen it before and I've seen it since and I wish I had more power to prevent it. 8 Isn't it true that the criminal case that you're 9 referring to is Mr. Ford's conviction for sending heroin 10 into Cedar Junction when he was out on bail? 11 12 I had no contact with that case. 13 If I show you your deposition transcript that states 14 otherwise would that refresh your recollection? 15 THE COURT: What's the recent criminal case that 16 you're referring to? 17 THE WITNESS: It's the 2002 assault. I felt that 18 his mental state was very impaired at the time of it and he 19 should have been granted probation as a result, and I feel sometimes that there's prosecutorial zeal. 20 21 THE COURT: But I just want to know, when you say 22 that you were wronged in this recent criminal case, you're 23 talking about the 2002 incident? 24 THE WITNESS: Correct. I know nothing, I know

25

nothing about the other case.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, if I could have a moment, 2 3 please. THE COURT: Yes. 4 Dr. Grassian, Dr. Grassian, I would like to show you 5 your deposition again. Read from Line 9. Just read it to 6 7 yourself. MS. CHAUDHARY: What page are you referring to? 8 THE WITNESS: Page 188, line 9. 9 10 MS. CHAUDHARY: Objection. Why, why did he give Dr. Grassian his deposition? What exactly is he doing? 11 12 THE COURT: Thus far we don't have a question, he's 13 just giving him the document. 14 Α Yes. 15 Does that refresh your recollection, Doctor, as to the 16 reason for the -- does that refresh your recollection as to 17 the criminal matter you were referring to in your letter? 18 No. I misspoke at the deposition. I had heard about this business with the heroin subsequently and so it was on 19 But what I was referring to in the letter was the 20 my mind. 21 2002 case where I felt it was to me really obvious that his 22 mental state was very, very impaired and there was reaction 23 of one of terror and fear and it was impulsive reaction. I 24 don't, I don't really know much about -- I don't really know 25 anything about the heroin conviction except what I've heard

- 1 from others. Well, you knew about --2 So I make no expert opinion about it. 3 You knew enough about it to testify in your deposition? Again, I merely misspoke. That's a -- I shouldn't have 5 raised that. I don't know why it was on my mind. But the 6 7 letter is about the 2002 case, the one I was actually involved in. 8 If it's about the -- actually, Doctor, could you read 9 the third paragraph of the letter. 10 It's doubly infuriating because you demonstrated well 11 12 while you were out that you are an asset to the community 13 out of jail and prison time is a burden both to you and to 14 the rest of us. 15 And that's not in reference to the heroin possession or 16 the heroin incident? 17 Again, the letter to Judge Fabricant, the whole issue I 18 was involved with was that he should be given probation for the 2002 incident and I felt strongly that the 2002 incident 19 was a product of mental defect or mental impairment at that 20 moment. I still do. I feel quite strongly about that. 21 22 When you say that there was demonstrated a quality of 23 meanness and vindictiveness in its prosecution, who was mean
 - A I should say unjust.

and vindictive?

24

```
1
      Q
          Well --
          Wait. You asked a question. I want to answer it.
2
                                                                Who.
      Prosecutors. Prosecutors often prosecute cases that
 3
      shouldn't be prosecuted because there is significant
 4
      impairment. I've seen cases, murder cases where that's
 5
      happened. People who have had psychotic postpartum
 6
      depression, or psychotic depressions, people who were
7
      clearly very severely ill and the prosecution went forward.
8
      Because it's like an adversarial system and so --
9
          So it was the prosecutors who were mean and vindictive?
10
11
          I think it was mean and vindictive to prosecute that
12
      case and to try to get some jail time for it.
13
          I just showed you your deposition transcript and you
14
      made a reference to there weren't any fingerprints.
15
      Α
          Right.
16
          To what were you referring?
17
          This is information I received from attorneys
18
      subsequently. I don't -- again, I've never actually
19
      inquired about it. I've seen no records of it.
                                                        I've never
20
      asked Mr. Ford about it. So that was basically stuff I had
      learned from attorneys. And it wasn't relevant to the two
21
      thousand and --
22
23
          So, would it change your opinion if in fact Mr. Ford's
24
      fingerprints were found on that package that was sent into
      the institution?
25
```

1 My opinion about what? It would not change my opinions that are stated in the 2008 letter to Mr. Ford, no. I mean, 2 that's a whole separate matter. 3 You wrote this letter after Mr. Ford pled guilty to both charges of, number one, the charges regarding the assault on 5 the nurse, and number two, the charges regarding the heroin 6 7 to which his bail was, his bail was revoked; isn't that true? 8 I had no knowledge of the situation at the time I wrote 9 10 the letter. The letter was written on October 18th of 2008; isn't 11 that true? 12 13 Α Right. 14 You had no knowledge that Mr. Ford at that point in time was convicted of his crimes? 15 16 I had no knowledge of any illegal proceeding or whatever 17 from the time I wrote the letter to Judge Fabricant until I 18 was retained by these attorneys in 2009. All I had was the letter from him. 19 I'm curious as to why you wrote in your letter then that 20 21 you would have testified if the case had already, if it was 22 your -- if you were under the understanding that, or you had 23 no knowledge of the criminal trial why would you use that

A I actually was referring to June of '07. You know, I

term I would have testified, past tense?

24

```
1
      would have strongly testified that, about what happened in
      2002. See, I didn't even know that I wasn't going to be
2
      testifying in that case. I mean, I was asked to write the
3
               Things kind of, you know, went where they went, and
      letter.
 4
      I think you or one of your attorneys asked me, well, was it
 5
      ever sent to court, was it ever submitted. I have no idea.
 6
7
      After 2007 when I wrote the letter, I had no further contact
      about any of this.
8
          Just so I'm clear, Doctor, it's your testimony today
9
      that when you wrote this October 18th, 2008 letter you were
10
      not aware that Mr. Ford had been convicted?
11
12
          I believe that's entirely correct. Again, I had no
13
      contact with anyone. I had the -- I mean, this whole -- the
14
      case kind of disappeared and, and then I got some letter
15
      from him about Morrison, Mahoney & Miller.
16
          I mean, that --
      Q
17
          But I didn't know anything about what had happened.
18
      Remember, from 2007 to 2009, I was, I was out of this, out
      of this system. I wasn't part of anything.
19
20
          So that's your testimony, that you weren't aware that he
      was incarcerated?
21
22
          That he was incarcerated?
      Α
23
          That he was convicted in 2002. He was convicted of the,
24
      in 2007 of the -- not 2007. In 2008 he pled guilty to the
25
      charges for assaulting a male nurse and sending the heroin
```

```
1
      into the institution. And when you wrote this letter you
      were not, it's your testimony today that you were not aware.
2
          That's correct, it is my testimony.
3
          And again, I ask you why, why the use of the past tense
 5
      there?
          Again, this happened in -- I'm referring to something
6
7
      that happened in 2007 that I felt quite strongly about. So
      I would have testified -- I actually thought I was going to
8
      testify. I didn't think the letter was going to be the end
9
      of it.
10
11
               THE COURT: Doctor, you sent this letter to him.
12
      Was he home or in jail?
13
               THE WITNESS: No, he was in jail. He had written
14
      to me and I felt that I --
15
               THE COURT: So what did you understand he was in
16
      jail for?
17
               THE WITNESS: Well, he had -- I knew that after
18
      June of 2007 he was in prison and he was in the DDU.
19
               THE COURT: For what?
20
               THE WITNESS: I knew that.
21
               THE COURT: For what crime?
22
               THE WITNESS: You know, I did not at that point --
23
      I knew he had been put in prison as a pretrial detainee in
      June 2007. But I didn't know anything about the resolution
24
25
      of these cases. I had no -- nobody contacted me about April
```

of 2008 when he pled and he was sentenced. But he wrote me and I felt that I really needed to offer him some support to help him get through whatever. But I didn't actually know what the status was at that point.

THE COURT: So as far as you knew he could have been in for anything unrelated to the hostage situation, or to the 2002 incident?

THE WITNESS: All I knew was he was still there, you know. I mean, it didn't even, it actually didn't occur to me to ask. I mean, I just felt an obligation to respond to him because he had written to me.

BY MR. ANAHORY

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

- Q Doctor, let me ask you this. You're an attorney as well, you have, you have a JD; isn't that right?
- 15 A I have a JD degree, right.
- 16 Q And are you familiar with what a plea colloquy is?
- 17 A Sort of vaguely.
- 18 **Q** Essentially it's when somebody -- well, let me ask you this. Let me strike that, your Honor.

I'm going to direct your attention to that letter again, Doctor. The last sentence in the second paragraph, can you read that?

- A The last paragraph in the second paragraph?
- 24 Q The last sentence --
- 25 | A I have seen it before and I've seen it since and I wish

1 I had more power to prevent it. Right. What did you mean by power to prevent it? 2 I believe that many cases, I've seen many cases where a 3 person was severely mentally impaired and they prosecuted 4 them anyway and convicted them. And, you know, I wish I 5 could prevent that from happening. And I still do. I feel 6 7 that strongly about it. I've seen many cases, I mean, tragic cases of people who are severely mentally ill and 8 ended up being convicted of a crime. That was wrong. 9 10 Unjust. It was wrong. It's within your power to help Mr. Ford today, isn't it? 11 12 Well, I suppose I'm trying to do so right now, aren't I? 13 Doctor, were you at all concerned that by writing this 14 letter to Mr. Ford you weren't helping him at all but you 15 were rather hindering him by fanning the flames for his 16 distrust of people of authority? 17 Α No. 18 MR. ANAHORY: Nothing further, your Honor. MS. CHAUDHARY: Brief redirect? 19 20 THE COURT: Brief. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MS. CHAUDHARY 23 Dr. Grassian, Mr. Anahory asked you whether your letter 24 in 2007 to Judge Fabricant recommended mental health 25 treatment for Mr. Ford. You responded it did not address

- 1 that issue. Why not? It wasn't relevant to the, to what was at issue before 2 Judge Fabricant which was for the release on probation. 3 And were you asked to evaluate the effects of DDU 5 confinement on Mr. Ford for the purposes of that 2007 letter? 6 7 Not really, no. I mean, it comes out in the quotes and stuff. 8 And would Mr. Ford have benefited from mental health 9 treatment at that time? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And was your silence on that issue any indication that 13 Mr. Ford did not need mental health treatment at that time? 14 Α It simply was not an issue that was being addressed. 15 And, Dr. Grassian, in your 2009 expert report were you 16 asked to opine on Mr. Ford's mental health in this case? 17 Α No. 18 So, in your, in your -- excuse me. Q In your supplemental report offered in this case 19 20 you were asked to opine on Mr. Ford's mental health; is that 21 correct? 22 In the 2011. Α 23
- Yes. And you did submit an expert report in this case 24 on Mr. Ford's mental health; is that correct?
- 25 Α Correct.

1 And, Dr. Grassian, you were asked whether your June 22nd letter to Judge Fabricant, you were asked why it included 2 certain descriptions of Mr. Ford's, Mr. Ford's history and 3 his, his past. Do you know what age Mr. Ford was when --4 5 what Mr. Ford's age was when you were describing his, his history in particular -- you were directed to page 3 of your 6 7 letter to Judge Fabricant and asked questions about Mr. Ford's relationship with his football coach and stuff. 8 Do you know how old approximately Mr. Ford was? 9 This was during high school, sixteen, seventeen, 10 eighteen. Fifteen. 11 12 And what was the purpose of including those facts 13 regarding Mr. Ford's background in that 2007 letter? 14 Α The most important purpose here was to understand his 15 capacity for interpersonal relationships. That if you look 16 throughout this letter there's a lot of very strong evidence 17 that Mr. Ford was capable of good relationships with people 18 and notions like compassion and such would apply to him. 19 MS. CHAUDHARY: Nothing further. 20 MR. ANAHORY: Just one question, your Honor. Maybe 21 two, your Honor. 22 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 23 BY MR. ANAHORY 24 So, when you say it wasn't relevant in your writing the 25 report regarding Mr. Ford's mental issue in 2007 and in

```
1
      2009, it wasn't relevant to the, to the reason why you wrote
2
      the report, is that true, you just testified to that?
          It doesn't tell you exactly that's what I said. I'm a
3
      little bit confused by your question.
          So, in 2007 and in 2009 you were aware that Mr. Ford
 5
      suffered significant trauma, yet you chose not to put that
6
7
      in the report? That information in the report?
8
         Right.
               MR. ANAHORY: Thank you.
9
10
               THE COURT: You may step down.
               (Whereupon the witness stepped down.)
11
12
               THE COURT: I suggest that we break for lunch now
13
      and we'll be back in an hour. Okay?
14
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Thank you, your Honor.
15
               THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
16
               (Luncheon Recess.)
17
18
                AFTERNOON PROCEEDINGS - 1:55 P.M.
19
20
               THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated.
               THE COURT: We have a --
21
22
               MR. ANAHORY: Your Honor, before we start with
23
      Mr. Ford, I would just like to bring up the unresolved
24
      ruling on the diabetes issue with Doctor --
25
               THE COURT: I'm going to let the testimony stand,
```

1 but I would like to understand why we're not, why we don't have the medical records. 2 MS. CHAUDHARY: Your Honor, Dr. Grassian reviewed 3 the medical records in preparing his report but we haven't introduced them as exhibits because we weren't, he could not 5 authenticate the records. 6 THE COURT: Is there an authentication issue? 7 Aren't they medical records from the DOC? 8 MS. DANIELE: They were to put on the records 9 10 because I have not, I haven't seen any records. I can't -and there's no link between any of these records. 11 12 MR. SYRETT: I have two records that I plan to use 13 with Mr. Ford during the direct. 14 THE COURT: So let's see where that goes. But I 15 don't understand why there should be an authentication issue 16 on the DOC medical records, unless I'm missing something. 17 MS. DANIELE: If in fact they are DOC medical 18 records then I wouldn't think there would be an 19 authentication issue. There may be other issues because I don't know what records will be --20 THE COURT: I mean, I think the issue here was 21 22 simply whether or not there was evidence of sort of the 23 sugar level --MS. CHAUDHARY: Elevation. 24 25 THE COURT: -- spiking which is going to be a

1 number. MR. ANAHORY: He also testified, your Honor, to 2 what could happen, he elaborated on what could happen if 3 somebody was suffering from elevated sugar levels. It's our 4 5 belief, your Honor, that he is not an appropriate expert to testify to that. 6 7 THE COURT: I'll hear the testimony of Mr. Ford and then we'll see what happens at that point. 8 9 MR. ANAHORY: Thank you, your Honor. THE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hand. 10 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are 11 12 about to give this Court is the truth, the whole truth, and 13 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 THE CLERK: Could you just please identify yourself 16 for the record spelling your last name. 17 THE WITNESS: Albert Ford, F O R D. 18 ALBERT FORD DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. SYRETT 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Ford. 21 Q 22 Good afternoon. Α 23 Mr. Ford, when did you file your complaint in this case? Q Ah, sometime in, ah, 2007. 24 Α Was it the summer of 2007? 25 Q

- 1 Yes, it was the summer of 2007. And what was the reason you filed your complaint? 2 Because, ah, I came back as a pretrial detainee and I 3 know I shouldn't have been subject to punishment being held 5 in DDU, because over the years I've seen other people get out of DDU and they wrapped up and they either went to a 6 7 county jail or they went home. And what did you hope you accomplished by filing your 8 9 complaint? 10 That they would let me out of DDU if I point out the errors that was made. 11 12 I would like to start by asking you some questions about 13 the conditions in the DDU when you were a pretrial detainee. 14 Could you describe your cell in the DDU when you 15 were a pretrial detainee? 16 Yes. I lived in a seven by twelve cell. Sink, toilet, 17 cement bed. Stool. The table going across. And two 18
 - windows in the cell, approximately about six inches wide and maybe about a couple feet long.
 - MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, I've placed a binder with the joint exhibits in front of Mr. Ford, if I could refer to that as we --

THE COURT: That's fine.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Ford, could you turn to tab 3 in the binder in front of you.

```
1
                THE COURT: Excuse me for one minute.
 2
      to sit there or -- okay.
          Mr. Ford, what is shown in Exhibit 3?
 3
      Q
          It's a picture of a door.
          And where is the door?
 5
          The door's on the tier where I'm living at now.
 6
 7
      shows a food slot, two food slots and the outside of a
      window.
 8
          Is the door depicted in Exhibit 3 basically the same as
 9
10
      the door you had as a pretrial detainee?
          Yes, it was.
11
      Α
12
          And what could you see through the window in your door
13
      as a pretrial detainee?
14
      Α
          I would see the outside of the wall, the tier wall.
15
          And, Mr. Ford, if you could turn to tab 8 in the binder,
16
      please, to Exhibit 8.
17
               Do you recognize what's shown in Joint Exhibit 8?
18
          It's the DDU tier where I'm living at right now.
19
          And is that the same type of tier where you lived as a
      pretrial detainee?
20
21
          Yes, it was.
22
          And so the view through the window in your cell door was
23
      the wall on the right hand side of the picture; is that
      right?
24
25
          Yes, I would be looking at the right hand side, the wall
```

```
1
      of the tier.
          Mr. Ford, if you could turn to tab 4 in your binder,
 2
      please, Joint Exhibit 4.
 3
                What does Exhibit 4 show?
 4
          It shows a picture of a cell where I'm living right now.
 5
          And is the layout of your cell in the DDU now
 6
 7
      essentially the same as the layout when you were a pretrial
      detainee?
 8
          Yes, it is.
 9
          And that's the bed in the back?
10
          Yes, that's the bed in the back, and you have a stool in
11
12
      the middle of the floor. And you have a table.
13
          And what are the bed, stool and table made out of?
14
      Α
         Made out of cement.
15
          What could you see out of the back window when you were
16
      a pretrial detainee?
17
          Well, this cell here, you would see the exercise cages
18
      where we exercise.
19
          Mr. Ford, if you could please turn to tab 7 in your
      binder.
20
                What does Exhibit 7 show?
21
22
          It shows the toilet and the sink, part of the table and
23
      the shelf.
24
          Ad that's in your current cell?
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

1 But it's essentially the same as when you were a 0 pretrial detainee; is that right? 2 3 Α Yes. Where in your cell is the toilet located? Probably about three feet in front of the front door. 5 And are you visible from outside your cell when you're 6 using the toilet? 7 Yes, because you're not allowed to put nothing up in the 8 window so when the staff walk by, anybody that walk by they 9 can see you sitting on the toilet. You have no privacy when 10 11 that happens. 12 Mr. Ford, were you able to communicate with other 13 inmates from your cell in the DDU as a pretrial detainee? 14 Α From -- not a normal communication. Like you would have 15 to lay on the floor and yell out underneath your door, or 16 you yell to the side at the crack of the door. But, you had 17 to yell for communication because inside the cell everything 18 echos. 19 Is it difficult to have a conversation that way? 20 Yes. Yes. Now, I would like to turn to the schedule in the DDU 21 22 when you were a pretrial detainee. 23 Where do you eat your meals in the DDU? 24 Well, I eat in my cell three times a day. I'm alone in

my cell, there's no cell mates or nothing. So you eat alone

```
1
      in the cell.
          And where in your cell do you eat your meals?
 2
          Well, I don't know about anyone else, but you're
 3
      supposed to sit at the stool. But the stool's real high.
      just sit at the edge of my bed with the food tray on my lap
 5
      balancing it.
 6
 7
          And how long do you have to eat your meals?
          You have 20 minutes to eat.
 8
          Now, how often were you let out of your cell as a
 9
      pretrial detainee?
10
          Well, if you choose to go to exercise you're out of your
11
12
      cell for that hour. But in my case, I would go out twice a
13
      day because I get insulin shots.
14
          And where did you get your exercise as a pretrial
      detainee?
15
16
          Well, they have these cages that look like dog, dog
17
      kennels where you walk back and forth. So it's in the yard
18
      where, whatever wing you live at.
19
          Mr. Ford, if you could please turn to tab 9 in your
               To Exhibit 9.
20
      binder.
               What is shown in Exhibit 9?
21
22
          It shows the DDU exercise cages.
      Α
23
          And do you know the dimensions of the exercise cages?
```

I don't really know, but I would have to say at least,

it's like six feet wide and about ten yards long.

24

```
1
          So what is it that you do when you go out in the
 2
      exercise cages?
          You just walk back and forth. There's nothing you can
 3
           There's no basketball court or nothing. You just --
      do.
 5
      it's a daily routine, you walk back and forth, that's it.
          And what happens to your exercise period if the weather
 6
      is bad?
 7
          Oh, you just lose, you lose that day because they don't
 8
      have any makeup days.
 9
10
          How many visitors were you allowed per month as a
      pretrial detainee?
11
12
          Well, in the DDU you have to earn your visits. So you
13
      could earn up to four visits a month.
14
      Q
          And where did you see your visitors?
15
          In the DDU, there's a visiting area where you sit on the
16
      one side of a high stool and your visitor sits on the other
17
      side and Plexiglas in between us, and we talk on the phone
18
      to each other.
          And these were completely noncontact visits?
19
20
          Oh, yeah.
                     I've spent -- you never get to hug your
21
      visits. You hope that when you go down there that the
22
      windows are cleaned by the workers. But sometimes if
23
      they're not cleaned you have to try to like, you know, you
24
      tell your visitor clean the other side and you clean this
25
      side here, you know. And you hope that the AC is working,
```

- Case 1:07-cv-11457-JGD Document 203 Filed 10/11/11 Page 114 of 1874 1 Because if it's off, if it's off it's like a hundred degrees down there, it's very uncomfortable. 2 And how many telephone calls were you allowed per month 3 as a pretrial detainee? 4 Again, you have to earn it, but you can earn up to four 5 calls. 6 7 So generally what were the opportunities you had to talk to other people when you were a pretrial detainee? 8 Can you clarify who? 9 10 Talk to anyone. 0 Oh, anyone. Well, like I said, testified earlier, if 11 12 you want to yell outside at the crack of your door, you can 13 have that type of conversation. If you want to lay on your
 - A Oh, anyone. Well, like I said, testified earlier, if you want to yell outside at the crack of your door, you can have that type of conversation. If you want to lay on your floor, like a one inch space, you have that. And then you have the yard. You can walk back and forth and just talk to another inmate if you choose.
 - **Q** What about talking to guards?

15

16

17

22

23

24

- 18 A No one talks to guards. They don't talk to us and we don't talk to them.
- Q And what was it like to only have those opportunities to talk?
 - A Well, you, ah -- it's -- you get headaches from yelling.

 You know, it's, ah, sometimes you don't even feel like

 talking because you got to yell at the top of your lungs

 just to have a normal conversation. And when you go to the

- yard it's like, if you want to hear the same old stories
 every day, that's, that's what it is, you know.
- Q What was the process for being taken out of your cell when you were a pretrial detainee?
 - A I would have to take off all my clothes and submit to have an officer look at all the parts of your body before you can leave your cell.
 - Q And how did it make you feel to have the strip search?
- 9 A Ah, it's, you feel embarrassed, you feel uncomfortable
- 10 having especially another man look at all your body parts.
- 11 So, you know, that's what you substitute if you want to get
- 12 | some type of exercise. If you want to leave your cell you
- have to submit to that type of harassment. Well, I wouldn't
- 14 say harassment. Embarrassment. Excuse me.
- 15 **Q** And are you ever subject to strip search before going
- 16 back to your cell?

6

7

- 17 A Yes. Especially with visits. You know, you have to
- 18 | submit to being strip searched when you go out there and
- when you're coming back. And sometimes in the yards they
- take individuals, they might say that, okay, we want you in
- 21 the shower, skin search, we want you. So if it's 20 degrees
- 22 outside you're in the shower taking your clothes off and
- you're cold. And you just hope you don't have that number
- that day being skin searched coming in.
- 25 | **Q** So on the typical day in the DDU when you were a

```
1
      pretrial detainee, how many hours would you spend in your
2
      cell?
          I would spend 23 hours a day. If I didn't go to the
 3
      yard it would be 24.
 4
          And when you're a pretrial detainee what did you do to
 5
      pass the time?
 6
          What I did was, especially when I came back, it seemed
7
      like I had to learn how to do time all over again because I
8
      forgot how to do time. What I mean by that is, I couldn't
9
      concentrate. I couldn't think. I couldn't focus. I didn't
10
11
      want to do nothing.
12
          What about reading? Do you read?
13
          I lost, I lost interest. Especially, ah, it's like the
14
      tier I was on there's like, you had certain individuals that
15
      smashed their TV's. And then you would hear code 99's
16
      coming down the tier and they're in their cell eating pieces
17
      of glass of the TV just to try and get out of DDU to some
18
      outside hospital. So, it's the first time that, that I'm
19
      recognizing all these different signs as to what's
20
      happening. And years ago I never, I didn't dawn on the
21
      stuff. It was just a normal day. But it's something that I
22
      paid very close attention to, one, why are they doing these
23
      things.
24
          What is a code 99?
25
          It's when a person commits some type of, like a suicidal
```

- 1 approach in his cell, maybe cutting his wrists or trying to hang it up and all the guys, anybody, they come running, 2 everybody come running down the tier, there's a code 99 on 3 this tier. The inmate would bang the door, hey, come on 4 down here, someone's hanging up, someone's doing something, 5 you know. And that's what the 99 is. 6 7 Had you experienced code 99's prior to your period of pretrial detention? 8 It was, it was a daily routine in DDU that I never, it 9 10 never really fades on me. Did you experience code 99's differently as a pretrial 11 12 detainee? 13 Yes. Because, ah, this time it was like the noise 14 seemed like it got to me. It seemed like, ah, that somebody 15 was really in trouble. Because I've never really thought 16 about it, the next person the next door to me was trying to 17 get out of his cell for some reason, you know. 18 Mr. Ford, I think in a prior answer you had mentioned going out to the yard. Do you recall that? 19 20 Yes. Α 21 And what do you mean by the yard? 22 Oh, excuse me. I meant the cages, the DDU cages. 23 There's no yard in DDU. 24 Now, what about having visitors or making phone calls
- when you're a pretrial detainee, did you do that?

1 As a pretrial detainee? So, when I came back, I didn't want to, ah, I didn't want to talk to nobody. I didn't want 2 to have visits. I just wanted to lay in my cell. Right? 3 And there was a couple of nights there was tear drops, 4 right, coming. And I just couldn't, I was so numb, I just 5 couldn't understand that, nobody was talking to me, nobody 6 7 was telling me that, when I was leaving. There was no one coming to me. Because it was basically, maybe my history, 8 but it was something that I couldn't talk to no one from the 9 10 outside, and I just figured that I can just try to go to sleep and just sleep it away. 11 12 And Dr. Grassian testified that his understanding is

- Q And Dr. Grassian testified that his understanding is that to this day your bishop has not visited; is that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 16 **Q** And is there a reason?
 - A I don't know what, I don't know what, the words to really say to him. And plus he's old and the way the DDU is that, when you come over to the DDU you have a long walk for especially somebody elderly. Because by the time they come over to the DDU they're all out of breath, you know. And he's kind of old and I wanted to try to take that burden off him where he doesn't come over here all out of breath. He doesn't sit onto a stool that's hard on his body. And I'm just trying to make it like a little comfortable for him.

1 And at the same time it's like, you know, I just don't have the words yet. I can't think of the words yet, you know. 2 Now, Mr. Ford, you were facing criminal charges when you 3 were being held as a pretrial detainee, correct? 4 5 Yes. Α Did being in the DDU affect your ability to work on your 6 7 criminal case? Yes. Because, ah, you have like limited phone 8 calls. You, you, you, got to go through changes where 9 there's no library in 10 Block. So you have to submit a 10 form, put cases on it, then you get it back ten days later. 11 12 Then you hope that your case law doesn't get lost on its way 13 because now you got to submit it again, over and over and 14 over. So, it is complicated. And if I was someplace else 15 it would have been a lot easier to physically go to the 16 library, look up cases, and use the phone any time I want. 17 Mr. Ford, I think you may have said 10 Block. Did you 18 mean the DDU? 19 DDU. Excuse me. Α Is there a law library in the DDU? 20 Q No, there's a book cart. 21 Α 22 And how long did it typically take to have access to the Q 23 book cart when you were a pretrial detainee? 24 Well, they put a slip in, I would probably go within 25 like eight days to this area where they push the book carts

```
1
      up to the doorway and they just tell you to pick four books.
          And are you able to take the books back to your cell?
 2
 3
          No.
      Α
          We've talked about the conditions in the DDU, and now I
 4
      would like to turn to the chronology of events when you were
 5
      there and ask you some questions starting in January of
 6
 7
      2007.
               You were in the DDU in January 2007?
 8
          Yes, I was.
 9
          And in January 2007 did you complete serving a criminal
10
      sentence?
11
12
          Yes, I wrapped up my sentence July 7th. I mean, excuse
13
      me, excuse me, January 7th.
14
      Q
         And was that 2007?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
      Q
         And what happened?
17
      Α
         Well --
18
                THE COURT: How long had you been in the DDU as of
19
      that point?
20
                THE WITNESS: Let's try, since 1993 I probably have
21
      possibly six months in general population.
22
               Can you repeat the question?
23
          Sure. I think my question was --
      Q
24
      Α
          Sorry.
25
          -- what happened when your criminal sentence expired?
```

A Oh. Well, when I was wrapping up June, I mean

January 7th, probably about a month before all that I was

giving away all my property. I knew I was leaving. And I

seen everybody else leave. I knew I was going to the county

jail. So I gave away all my books, my personal belongings,

because I'm leaving the 7th.

So when the 7th came that day, breakfast came, I gave my breakfast to the neighbor. I said, oh, you know, that I'm leaving, so you're going to be here. So now lunchtime came around. Nobody's saying nothing to me, right? And I asked the guard, I said listen, ain't I on the discharge list to leave? And he just started laughing. He said I'll look into it. And I heard one of the guys, well, someone is going home today, someone's not going some place today. So it was just a daily, daily routine operation. I stayed there.

Q Mr. Ford, was there a reason you didn't want to take your possessions with you?

A Well, from over the years everyone's just, if you're going home, if you're going someplace else, like you just leave it behind. So I figured that, there was all the other inmates in DDU going to be there for two, three or five years, so I just gave away everything. I was, I was leaving and I thought I was going to the county jail. And I thought I was going to make bail and I just wanted, I didn't want to

1 take nothing with me. And if you're locked in your cell how is it that you 2 give things away? 3 Well, the little slot, I don't know if you saw it when 4 they showed the pictures, there's like a little, like about 5 an inch space, you could open up a book and you could slide 6 7 it next door. So whoever is next door to you, like he would slide it next door. And that's how we live in the 8 segregation areas, you live underneath the door, underneath 9 10 down on the tier. 11 So was there any change in the way you were treated as of January 7th when your criminal sentence expired? 12 13 It was more as, as, you know, the joke's on me. 14 know, I'm still here, like hearing them laughing. And it's 15 like nobody was telling me nothing, you know. And trying to 16 figure, trying to figure something else when you know you're 17 supposed to go somewhere because over the years I seen 18 everybody else leave and I'm not going nowhere. It puts a 19 lot of burden on you, you know. 20 And did you have any sort of hearing about whether you were going to be staying in the DDU? 21 22 No, no hearing. Α 23 Were you given any paperwork or form explaining why you

25 A No.

were staying in the DDU?

```
1
          Were you given any explanation about why you were
      staying in the DDU?
2
          Not the truth, no. No. I got nothing.
 3
      Α
          And did you have any mental health screening at that
 5
      time?
          No.
 6
      Α
7
      0
          You were released on bail at some point in 2007, right?
          March. March 2007.
8
          And what did you do right when you got out on bail?
9
          Well, the first thing I did was, you know, deal with the
10
      Mass. Health. I need to get my health taken care of, you
11
12
      know. I checked into a hospital, I seen a regular doctor
13
      and just get everything, right, see what was going on.
14
          And after you had taken care of that initial, the health
15
      issues, what were some of the things you were doing when you
16
      were out on bail?
17
          Well, I was still kind of limited because I was on house
18
      arrest. So, I got involved with, with church activities.
      got involved in AA and NA meetings, right? And I basically
19
20
      was, was going to see my lawyer and I was trying to deal
      with, ah, things that I didn't think I would ever have to
21
      deal with with people. I was uncomfortable having family
22
23
      members come by. You know, because, being in the same room
24
      with them, right? I was so used to eating alone. I
25
      couldn't eat on the table. So it was like I was learning
```

```
1
      everything all over again, right? Sitting on the table, it
      was a big thing for me.
2
          Were there any other activities that you experienced
 3
      trouble with when you were out on bail?
                 Ah, the transportation. Especially the train.
 5
      Like, I would get on the train. Then if I had to get off at
 6
7
      the eighth stop I would get off on stop three just to get
      that breather, you know, because I was around, then I would
8
      get back on and go. So I had to coast everything. In my
9
      lawyer's office on the 18th floor, I couldn't get in the
10
11
      elevator to go to the 18th floor. I had to go like to the
12
      third floor. I would walk up a stairs and get back on the
13
      elevator. And coast everything like that.
14
          And when you got out on bail did you expect to have that
15
      kind of trouble adjusting to being around people?
16
               Because, ah, I was one of the persons that's, you
17
      know, spent a lot of time in segregation and I've never
18
      caught up, I never done that. So, I thought it was, you
      know, it was nothing. Until I got out there and I realized
19
20
      it was, what I was missing was a whole completely different
      world I would call it, because now it's like talking to
21
22
      people, I couldn't hold conversations. Like I would have
23
      one-on-one conversations, if someone else come in, I would
24
      have to move away from them, because I couldn't hold with
      two people, with three people. So I realized that being in
25
```

1 the cell this is what you're missing. You know, you're not holding daily conversations, you know. Being in church and 2 want to talk and this. And I just had to get out of certain 3 areas. 4 Where were you living when you were out on bail? 5 I was living with my sister in Everett, Mass. 6 Is your sister here today? 7 0 Yes, she's in the back of the courtroom. 8 You returned to the DDU as a pretrial detainee, right? 9 Q 10 Yes. Α Do you recall when that was? 11 Q 12 June 26th. Α 13 Q And what year? 14 Α 2007. 15 And what happened when you went back to the DDU in June 16 2007? 17 Well, they brought me to the new man section. And I was 18 in the holding cell, so I figured I was going to population as a pretrial detainee. But then they come down and they 19 20 started walking me out towards the DDU. And I said what are you doing? He says, well, you're going over to DDU. I says 21 22 I'm a pretrial detainee. They says, well, we have orders to 23 put you in DDU. 24 So they brought me in DDU. And I noticed something

that it was like the same tier, the same cell, with the TV

- 1 and radio, like I never left, you know. And it was like, you know, it was -- it's not a coincidence, you know. 2 And you mentioned the new man section. What does that 3 mean? 4 It's a place where everyone when they first come to 5 Walpole, they, they go down this area and then you fill out, 6 7 you fill out these forms as a new person. They give you an I.D. number. And it's just like a new man processing, you 8 9 know. 10 And did you have any sort of a hearing before you were put back in the DDU in June 2007? 11 12 They just walked me straight to the DDU. 13 And were you given any sort of paperwork or form 14 explaining why you were going back to the DDU? 15 I got no paperwork or nothing, but I was told that I 16 still owed DDU time. 17 And did you have any mental health screening before 18 being put back in the DDU in June 2007? 19 No. Α And was there anything different about the way you were 20 treated when you went back into the DDU? 21 22 It was like, ah, you know, hearing just small talk, you 23 know. Well, he's back. You know, how was your, how was
 - Q Were you on any different sort of security status when

your stay, you know.

24

```
1
      you returned to the DDU?
          Yeah, it was strange, too, because they put me on the
2
      status ready, they dressed up in full riot gear and with a
3
      camera escorted me around every time I come out of my cell.
 4
      And I'm like, you know, I just came from the streets and I
 5
      didn't have none of this on the streets. I was just under
6
7
      house arrest. But now you got to put these helmets on, put
      me in handcuffs and have a camera follow me around.
8
      didn't understand that, you know.
9
10
          And how long did it last, the camera following you
      around?
11
12
          That, that lasted about two or three weeks.
13
          And what was your reaction upon being put back in the
14
      DDU in June of 2007?
15
      Α
          That, ah, I knew they were wrong in doing it. Because
16
      over the years I've seen, I didn't see no one like staying
17
      there as a pretrial detainee, I've seen others leave. And I
18
      know you couldn't, you couldn't punish a pretrial detainee.
      Those are things I did know.
19
20
          And how did you deal with being put back in the DDU?
          Well, it was like, since I explained to you about what I
21
22
      know some of the, when I was on the street about being
23
      around people, I finally realized my symptoms. So when I
24
      was in DDU it was like, I didn't want to become a prisoner
      again. I didn't want to -- I didn't know how to do time.
25
```

Ι

```
1
      just laid there, right, trying to think about, all right,
      what do I do now. At the same time it's like guards coming
2
      by and slamming doors, this and that. The noise for the
 3
      first time started, it was inside of my head. And hearing
 4
      that code 99 and a couple of guys with extraction teams
 5
      ready, the troops come out of their cell so they have to
 6
7
      suit up and spray gas to force them out of their cells.
                                                                So
      me smelling the gas for the first time was like starting to
8
      affect me. So all these little small things that didn't
9
      affect me over the years, it started to affect me now.
10
          Was it harder being a pretrial detainee in the DDU?
11
      Q
12
          It was the most -- especially 375 days. In all the
13
      years I have in, it was the hardest thing. Because I had to
14
      learn it seems like to do everything all over again.
15
      Because I didn't want to, I didn't want to become an
16
      invalid. I knew that if I got out in society I could be
17
      like everybody else, you know. I knew what the symptoms
18
      were, what they were. So I said I can do this, not to get
19
      caught up. So I just laid there numb and figured I can just
20
      do my time like that.
          And before you left in 2007 how had you been coping with
21
22
      the DDU?
23
          Before I left?
      Α
24
      Q
          Yes.
          Oh, I didn't -- you can bang all day long and it
25
```

```
1
      wouldn't bother me. You can -- I can hold, like I thought I
      was holding conversations with, normal conversations, right,
2
      I thought I was one of those guys with, you wouldn't see
 3
      them carrying me out of my cell, you know, with marks. But,
 4
      I don't know if I was wrong about it, but it was like, I
 5
      thought I was stronger when I was doing all this, you know.
 6
          Was there anything in particular you did to cope with
7
      0
      being in the DDU as a pretrial detainee?
8
          Well, one thing, too, is my thoughts. I would write
9
      them down. Right? Just to get them out of my head.
10
11
      certain thoughts I would have, I would write it down on a
12
      piece of paper and this way would get them out of my head.
13
      So it was like I need to get these thoughts out of my head,
14
      right, because this is the crazy thought here. Because I'm
15
      questioning myself about why is this next man hurting
16
      himself and I don't want to hurt myself. So when the
17
      clanging starts I'm writing everything on the paper, it's
18
      getting it out, right. And at the same time it's like, I'm
19
      listening to anybody for the first time, but I'm not
20
      talking. Because I couldn't talk.
          Did you worry that you might hurt yourself?
21
22
                I didn't have an answer to that question.
      Α
23
      that's what scared me.
24
          And had you ever had those thoughts prior to 2007?
      Q
25
      Α
          No.
```

```
1
          Do you recall when Dr. Grassian mentioned that you
      sometimes cried as a pretrial detainee?
 2
          I was surprised that he brought that up. Because I said
 3
      that to him figuring that, you know, it was the first time
 4
      that I had tears coming out of all the years. So when I
 5
      told him that, I didn't see it on my reports, so when he
 6
 7
      said that it was like I thought that was me and him. But,
      yes, I started thinking for the first time and tear drops
 8
      coming down, you know.
 9
          And how long was it that you remained a pretrial
10
      detainee in the DDU in total?
11
12
          For 375 days.
13
          And in those 375 days did you ever get to eat a meal
14
      with someone else?
15
      Α
          No.
16
          Did you ever get to exercise outside apart from being in
17
      a cage?
18
          Only, only the cages up on the screen.
          Did you ever get to be near a window for fresh air?
19
      Q
20
          Not as you open it, no.
      Α
21
          And did you ever get to hug your sister when she
      visited?
22
23
      Α
          No.
24
          Did you think that you shouldn't be in the DDU as a
25
      pretrial detainee?
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q And what was the reason?
- 3 A Because over the years, like I said, everyone else was
- 4 leaving. You know, they went to the county jails, they went
- 5 to population, they were in other, other pretrial detainee
- 6 blocks that they have that's going around, you know, other
- 7 joints.
- 8 Q And do you think being confined in the DDU as a pretrial
- 9 detainee affected your mental health?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 **Q** And how so?
- 12 A Because I recognized it when I got out. These things
- 13 | that I'd never experienced before, explained for the first
- 14 | time as now I'm a pretrial detainee and I still can't sleep.
- 15 | I can't like eat. I'm just like thinking about this this
- 16 | night and trying to make the days go by fast, why I'm here
- and all that. And now I realized the different things that
- happens to me, you know.
- 19 | Q Were you taking any medication when you were a pretrial
- 20 detainee?
- 21 A Well, I started taking medication before I was a
- 22 pretrial detainee. Because like over the years, like,
- especially in the '80's, the mental health, all they used to
- 24 do is they want to give you Thorazine. They want you to
- 25 | sleep all day long and they don't have to put up with your

1 headaches. So they were considered someone that you don't talk to unless you're trying to get to Bridgewater, unless 2 you're trying to sleep all day. So that's all they did. 3 So, around 2006, I believe, I started experiencing 4 certain things where I couldn't sleep. I couldn't focus. 5 So for the first time I says let me, let me talk to them and 6 see what they're about. And I talked to her. She's a nice 7 lady. She seemed like she was all right. She didn't want 8 to give me something to sleep all day. You know, she says 9 that, you know, maybe you have some big wish. She said, 10 11 listen, I'll give you this dose here, you see the doctor, 12 he'll give you a dose. So I seen the psych doctor. And 13 eventually they upped it and that's the time that I was on 14 medication. 15 Do you recall what medication you were taking? 16 Wellbutrin. Α 17 Q And did you begin that in 2006? 18 Yes. Α 19 And did your dosage of Wellbutrin increase during your 20 pretrial detention? The doctor kept increasing it. You know, and I 21 22 would explain certain things and he would increase it and 23 increase it, you know. 24 Did you lose any weight as a pretrial detainee?

A Yeah, I lost at least, at least, I would say at least,

```
1
      at least about, ah, probably 30 pounds. Thirty, 35 pounds,
      at least that, you know.
 2
          Mr. Ford, you're a diabetic, right?
 3
          Yes.
 4
      Α
          And when were you first diagnosed with diabetes?
 5
          2002.
 6
      Α
 7
      Q
          And what type of diabetes?
          I have Type I.
 8
      Α
          Has anyone else in your family had diabetes?
 9
      Q
          99 percent of my family has had it.
10
      Α
          And what type of diabetes do they have?
11
      Q
12
          Well, it ranged from Type I to Type II. And when we
13
      were all small, young in our family, our whole family
14
      educates us about this is a disease that, that it's a killer
15
      in our family. Like I've lost so many aunts, uncles to
16
      diabetes. Their legs being cut off. I lost both my parents
17
      to complications. So I knew what diabetes is all about.
18
          And do you do anything to treat your diabetes?
      Q
          Yes. I take insulin shots and a diabetic pill they give
19
      Α
20
      me.
          And your insulin is by prescription?
21
      Q
22
          Yes.
      Α
23
          And how often do you take your insulin?
      Q
24
          I take it twice a day.
      Α
25
          Do you do anything else to try to treat your diabetes?
      Q
```

- 1 A Well, diet, and I do a lot of exercising.
- 2 Q And did being a pretrial detainee in the DDU have any
- 3 effect on your diabetes?
- 4 A Yes, it has. Because when I was on the house arrest it
- 5 | was told that I'm supposed to take insulin three times a day
- 6 before each meal, finger sticks. So when I was a pretrial
- 7 detainee, I'm trying to explain this to the doctor. I
- 8 submitted a sick call slip that I need my afternoon coverage
- 9 because around four o'clock I'm getting in the range between
- 10 three or four hundred. So, that's not good for a diabetic.
- 11 **Q** And how does it feel when your blood sugars are in the
- 12 three or four hundred range?
- 13 A You feel -- you get headaches. You feel dizzy. You,
- 14 ah, get, your heart, your heart starts pumping fast. You
- 15 | get shakes and tremors.
- 16 Q And what level are your blood sugars supposed to be at?
- 17 A They're supposed to be between 80 and 120.
- 18 Q And how do you know what your blood sugars are?
- 19 A Because when you go out, they had, there's a finger,
- 20 there's a finger stick machine and you pluck your finger and
- 21 you put blood on it and it tells you what your count number
- 22 is.
- 23 **Q** So your blood sugar is tested every day?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Did you request to have a third shot of insulin as a

1 pretrial detainee? Yes, I requested to have the afternoon, lunchtime, you 2 know, insulin because I eat lunch. But then I was told that 3 there's no nurse around the lunchtime area. So I explained to them that if there's no nurse, I got to have some type of 5 insulin at lunchtime or I'm going to keep running high, and 6 7 that's not good for a diabetic. MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, in the binder at tab 10 we 8 have predesignated Plaintiff's Exhibit A in evidence. 9 believe there's an objection to it. But I would like to 10 address it with Mr. Ford and move for its admission. 11 12 THE COURT: This is the sick call request form? 13 MR. SYRETT: Yes. 14 **THE COURT:** Is there an objection? 15 MS. DANIELE: There is, your Honor. 16 Your Honor, my objection to the sick call request 17 form is that it's being submitted in isolation. Mr. Ford 18 has no medical record around the time that he tested his diabetes, and this form is, all it is is Mr. Ford asking for 19 help and he gives the Court no other information. 20 THE COURT: Well, you can bring in other things on 21 22 cross-examination. I'm going to let this in. Exhibit 13. 23 (Exhibit marked in evidence.) Mr. Ford, are you at Exhibit 13 at tab 12? Tab 10, 24 25 sorry.

```
1
          Yes.
      Α
          And what is Exhibit 13?
 2
          Well, this is a sick call slip. It's what we use in DDU
 3
      Α
      as like, if you want to see the doctor or any type of
 4
      medical person, you have to submit a sick call slip and
 5
      you've got to explain what the situation is on the sick call
 6
      slip.
 7
          And what did you write on your sick call slip?
 8
          I was requesting insulin coverage at 11:30 right before
 9
      the meal time because that's what a diabetic has to do with
10
11
      his finger stick before each three meals during the day.
12
      And I requested that.
13
          Am I reading your handwriting correctly that it says
14
      because I'm always running high at the 4:00 p.m. coverage?
15
          At approximately 11:30, the insulin person because I am
16
      always running high at 4:00 p.m. And the doctor wrote
17
      review the sick call slip, check the records and see how
18
      high I'm running at four o'clock. And that's the process
19
      there, you know.
20
          And what did you mean by running high?
      Q
          It was over the, it was over 300.
21
      Α
22
          Did you ever end up getting the third shot of insulin?
      Q
23
      Α
          No.
```

And how were your blood sugar levels when you were out

24

25

on bail?

- 1 They were, they were in between, like 80, 110, because I 2 had afternoon coverage. Were there any other effects of your diabetes that you 3 experienced as a pretrial detainee? Oh, yeah, my, my feet got worse. I can't feel my toes. 5 And they said it was like nerve damage. And being, being a 6 7 diabetic we were schooled at a young age that you have to take very good care of your feet, or they can cut your legs 8 off, amputate your legs. So I can't sleep sometime at night 9 because I'm thinking about my feet, how I get the sharp 10 11 pains, you know, the burning pains in my feet because of the 12 diabetes, I'm running high and it's because of my diabetes. 13 And where exactly is the numbness that you described? 14 Α The bottom of the feet. And it's mainly because I can't 15 feel, you know, I can't feel the, like these leg irons. 16 Like the leg irons are on my ankles and I can't feel them. 17 So I developed cuts on the back of my legs. So, these cuts, 18 they get infected and all that. So they tried giving me 19 ankle sleeves, soft restraints, you know, the medication for 20 the pain. And it's like, dealing with my feet is like a daily operation, especially like, you know, I can't feel 21 22 them. I could be in the yard walking and if there's a rock 23 in my sneaker, I'll walk all day long not knowing the rock's 24 there and it would cause an infection.
 - **Q** So is there numbness in your ankles?

```
1
          Yes.
      Α
          And what kind of cuffs did you, did you wear on your
 2
      ankles as a pretrial detainee?
 3
          Regular leg irons, what I have on now.
 4
 5
               MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, we have, unfortunately,
      just one copy of this photograph to which I think there's no
 6
 7
      objection, and we would like to mark it.
               MS. DANIELE: No objection.
 8
               THE COURT: It's a photograph of the leg irons?
 9
10
               MR. SYRETT: Yes.
               THE COURT: Right. We'll mark that as Exhibit 14.
11
               (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
12
13
               MR. SYRETT: May I approach the witness?
14
               THE COURT: Yes.
15
      Q
          Mr. Ford, I've handed you Exhibit 14. Could you
16
      describe what is shown?
17
          It's showing the leg irons that they use to put on me.
18
          And those are the leg irons used when you're a pretrial
      detainee?
19
20
          Yes.
      Α
          And those are the leg irons that cut into your ankles?
21
22
         Yes.
      Α
23
          And you mentioned that you gave, you received an ankle
24
      sleeve at some point?
25
                 The, the doctor gave me these ankle sleeves to
      Α
          Yeah.
```

```
1
      put them on to try to stop the leg irons from cutting into
      my ankles.
 2
          Can you describe the ankle sleeves?
 3
          They're like a, basically they're like probably two
      pairs of socks together like, you know, it's like a cotton
 5
      nest that you pull it over my ankle.
 6
               MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, another exhibit to which I
 7
      believe there's no objection.
 8
 9
               MS. DANIELE: No objection.
               THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 15 will be the sleeve.
10
      The ankle sleeve? Is that what that is?
11
12
               THE WITNESS: Yes, those, those are it.
13
               (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
14
               MR. SYRETT: May I approach, your Honor?
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
      Q
          Mr. Ford, what is Exhibit 15?
17
          Those are the ankle sleeves that were prescribed to me.
18
          Did you find them to be effective?
      Q
          They, ah, they are in the sort of way, but I have to put
19
      a couple more pair of socks on. They do work.
20
          You mentioned numbness in your feet and ankles.
21
22
      that ever gone way?
23
          No. It's still there.
      Α
24
          Did it get worse as a pretrial detainee?
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

```
1
          You also mentioned burning in your feet.
          Yeah, I get these, ah, burning pain, sharp pains in my
2
      feet.
3
          And do you still experience the burning?
5
          Yes.
          Did the burning get worse as a pretrial detainee?
6
7
      Α
         Yes.
         Were you ever given any medication for the problems in
      your feet and ankles?
9
          Yeah, they gave me this, this medication called
10
11
      Neurontin.
12
               MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, tab 11 in the binder is
13
      another exhibit. I believe there's no objection to this.
14
               MS. DANIELE: No objection, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry, can you just show me
16
      what it is.
17
               MR. SYRETT: It's another sick call request. It's
18
      Bates numbered JD1542.
19
               THE COURT: January 1st, '08?
20
               MR. SYRETT: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Marked as Exhibit 16.
22
               (Exhibit marked in evidence.)
23
          Mr. Ford, are you looking at tab 11, Exhibit 16?
      Q
24
      Α
          Yes.
25
          Okay. What is Exhibit 16?
```

```
1
          It's another sick call slip that I, that I submitted in
      order to get the medical staff to see me and act on it.
 2
          And what was the outcome of submitting this slip?
 3
          They -- the foot doctor ended up seeing me and they
      prescribed like medication to my feet. And ankle sleeves, I
 5
      don't know if they prescribed them that certain date, but I
 6
 7
      ended up getting ankle sleeves, you know.
          And I believe you mentioned the name of a medication.
 8
      If I could direct your attention to Exhibit 16 where it
 9
10
      indicates plan.
11
               Do you see the -- no, it's in the same exhibit,
12
      Mr. Ford.
13
      Α
          Okay.
14
      Q
          Do you see Tegretol written there?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          Is that the medication you were prescribed?
      Q
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          And were you prescribed any other medication?
      Q
                 Excuse me. You can correct me. I guess the
19
          Yeah.
      Neurontin came after that. They said this Tegretol, I
20
      remember now is the first stage that they give you, then if
21
22
      it doesn't work out, well, they'll give you something else
23
      and something else, you know.
24
          And was the second medicine Neurontin?
      Q
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

```
1
          Mr. Ford, if I can direct your attention to the top of
      Q
 2
      Exhibit 16. You wrote I'm a pretrial detainee, correct?
 3
      Α
          Yes.
          Was there a reason you wrote that there?
 4
          I wrote that because I thought maybe they would, the
 5
      burning in my feet was getting real bad, so I thought if
 6
 7
      they see pretrial detainee that they would probably see me
      real fast instead of waiting like a week to see a doctor.
 8
      When you put a sick call slip in it's a matter of time, you
 9
      don't know when you're going to see the doctor. So, I
10
11
      figured they would act on it real quick if I put that.
12
          Now, at a certain point you were sentenced, correct?
      Q
13
      Α
          Yes.
14
      Q
          And do you recall when that was?
15
      Α
          April 2008.
16
          And after you were sentenced in April of 2008 what
      Q
17
      happened?
18
          Ah, I stayed in the DDU and they said I still owed DDU
      time from a prior sentence.
19
20
          Did you have any hearing of any sort in April 2008?
      Q
21
          No.
      Α
22
          Did you have any mental health screening in April 2008?
23
      Α
          No.
24
          And were you given any sort of form or paperwork to
```

explain why you were staying in the DDU?

```
1
          No.
      Α
          And what was it like to go back to the DDU in 2008?
 2
          It was -- I didn't understand, again, why am I still in
 3
      DDU. It's like, you know, I have a new I.D. number.
 4
 5
      have, you know, a new sentence. I was supposed to be
      treated like everybody else. Like you go to Concord you see
 6
 7
      a classification board, you get classified.
          Do you remember when Judge Dein issued her summary
 8
      judgment decision in this case?
 9
          September 30th, 2008.
10
      Α
          Was it 2010?
11
      Q
12
          2010. Excuse me. Excuse me.
      Α
13
      Q
          Were you still in the DDU then?
14
      Α
          Yes.
15
          And you were in the same -- in the DDU on the same
16
      sentence?
17
          Yes.
      Α
18
          Mr. Ford, you meet with Dr. Bernard Katz, correct?
      Q
19
      Α
          Yes.
20
          Did he ask you any questions about 2007 and 2008?
      Q
          Well, he asked me a couple of questions. It was strange
21
22
      because, you know, you know, I thought he was going to ask
23
      me questions about my cell, about the conditions in DDU, you
24
      know, what you're going through. And it was like none of
```

these questions were being put to me.

```
1
               THE COURT: Can I ask you, when you went back in
2
      September -- April of '08, did you go back to the same cell
      or did you go to a different cell in the DDU?
3
               THE WITNESS: The same cell.
 4
               THE COURT: Same cell. Okay. And is there one
 5
      area that has the DDU in it where all of the cells are, or
6
7
      are there several areas?
               THE WITNESS: There's three wings in the DDU, A, B
8
      and C. So you have 120 cells.
9
10
               THE COURT: And are they all the same?
11
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: Thank you.
13
          Mr. Ford, when are you scheduled to be released from
14
      prison?
15
          April 2012.
16
          And what do you plan to do when you get out?
17
          To live like everybody else. To get involved with
18
      especially helping youth so they don't go through what I
19
      went through, 30 years in prison. You know, work like, just
20
      like a normal, a normal citizen.
          Do you have any intention of going back to prison?
21
          No. No intention.
22
      Α
23
          And based on your experience being out on bail in 2007,
24
      what do you expect when you are released?
25
          That, I hope I don't have to like go through what I went
      Α
```

```
1
      through last time. It's like starting from stage one,
      dealing with the things that, like people in conversation,
2
      unable to sleep in a regular bed because I'm so used to
3
      something hard. You know, I just -- those things there, I
 4
      want to like try to get by that, right? And that's why I
 5
      think counseling at the same time would be a good help.
6
7
      0
          And based on your experience in 2007, how would you like
      to prepare in prison for your release?
8
          I need to start my transaction period now because I'm
9
      under a year. You know, I'm still eating in the cell alone.
10
11
      I'm still sitting on the tier. And hearing the next person
12
      telling the same stories over and over that I hear for
13
      years.
              It's time for me to move on.
14
          What about participating in programs that the DOC
15
      offers? Are you interested in that?
16
          Yes.
      Α
17
      Q
          Why is that?
18
          Because that's the thing where it's, I just completed my
      Α
      only program since I've been in, Spectrum program.
19
20
      program does help people. I never been in programs before.
      And I liked it because I was communicating, I was talking,
21
22
      you know.
23
               THE COURT: What program was it?
24
               THE WITNESS: It was called the Spectrum program.
25
               THE COURT: What's it called?
```

```
1
                THE WITNESS: Spectrum.
                                         It's like --
 2
               MR. SYRETT: Spectrum.
 3
               THE COURT:
                            Spectrum. What do you do?
               THE WITNESS: It's a behavior modification
 4
 5
      transaction period that, it helps you handle situations
      where you always look at things positive instead of in a
 6
      negative way.
 7
          Are you interested in participating in more programs if
 8
      they're available?
 9
10
      Α
          Yes.
11
          You know that Dr. Grassian submitted two expert reports
12
      in this case, correct?
13
      Α
          Yes.
14
      Q
          And you're aware that Dr. Grassian indicated that you
15
      would benefit from therapy when you're released?
16
          Yes.
      Α
17
          Do you want to do therapy when you're released?
18
      Α
          Yes.
19
               MR. SYRETT: I have no further questions.
               THE COURT: Cross-examination?
20
21
               THE WITNESS: Excuse me, your Honor?
22
               MS. DANIELE: Could we take a five minute break?
23
               THE WITNESS: Could I take a five minute break to
24
      use the bathroom, please.
25
               THE COURT: It's unanimous, everyone wants a break.
```

```
1
                THE CLERK:
                            Court is in recess.
 2
                (Recess.)
                THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated.
 3
               MS. DANIELE: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MS. DANIELE
 6
          Good afternoon, Mr. Ford.
 7
      Q
         Good afternoon.
 8
          Mr. Ford, you testified on direct examination that you
 9
      have had diabetes since 2002; is that correct?
10
11
      Α
          Yes.
12
          And since 2002 you've been housed in the DDU; is that
13
      correct?
14
      Α
          Yes.
15
          Prior to being released on bail you had your blood sugar
16
      tested and insulin shots twice a day; is that correct?
17
          That's correct.
18
          And that's all that was deemed necessary during that
      time period; is that correct?
19
          I had twice a day. I don't know if it was necessary,
20
21
      but it was twice a day, yes.
22
          Thank you.
      Q
23
                And it was your testimony that when you were
24
      released on bail you went to the doctor and they told you
25
      you should be tested three times a day; is that correct?
```

- 1 A Yes, that's correct.
- 2 Q Isn't it really true that you believed in 2004 that you
- 3 | should be tested more than twice a day?
- 4 A It could have been like 2003, 2005. Family members are
- 5 saying, you know, you're supposed to be tested three times a
- 6 day.
- 7 Q But you did believe while you were on the DDU in 2003,
- 8 2004, 2005 that you should been have tested more frequently;
- 9 is that correct?
- 10 A Well, way before that because our family gets tested
- 11 three times a day. So if I'm in prison, now I'm down to
- 12 twice a day of course.
- 13 | Q And you weren't treated any differently as a pretrial
- 14 detainee in 2007 and 2008 than you were from 2002 to 2007,
- 15 | were you?
- 16 A Referring to what?
- 17 **Q** With regard to your insulin and blood sugar testing.
- 18 A They don't have a nurse in DDU to do it.
- 19 **Q** And in 2002 through 2007 it was the same circumstances,
- 20 medical, the medical staff deemed it appropriate that you be
- 21 tested twice a day and receive insulin twice a day; is that
- 22 right?
- 23 A Well, they said that they don't have a nurse, I mean,
- 24 come during the afternoon.
- 25 **Q** They said that in 2002?

```
1
          That was their policy in the DDU, the nurses leave at
                  There's not a nurse in DDU 24 hours a day.
 2
      lunchtime.
      that's their policy that as I requested it, they don't have
 3
      a nurse to do it.
 4
          And you didn't think that was right, did you?
 5
          I didn't -- I wouldn't say that. I didn't say back
 6
 7
      then. I didn't think that was -- I wasn't having
      complications like what I was having now.
 8
          In 2004 you filed a lawsuit about being tested and
 9
      having insulin only two times a day, did you not?
10
          I filed a lawsuit with two other inmates that, ah, we
11
12
      get diabetic meals, that we get ankle sleeves. There was
13
      all kinds of things that they didn't have in DDU. So it was
14
      three of us.
15
          And this was all regarding the same things that you're
16
      complaining about here; isn't that correct?
17
          Basically, yeah.
18
          Okay. Mr. Ford, then in 2003 you also complained about
      Q
      ankle problems; is that correct?
19
20
          Yes.
      Α
          And you complained about foot problems; is that correct?
21
22
          When you're dealing with leg irons on your ankles you
23
      have to keep your feet secure. So, yes, I don't know what
24
      years, but it's been my whole thing take care of your feet
      as I was growing up from my uncle where his legs got cut
25
```

```
1
      off. His arms got cut off. So you paid very close
      attention to your feet. So I probably complained my whole
 2
      bit about that.
 3
          Was your uncle in DDU?
 5
          Say again?
          Was your uncle in the DDU?
 6
 7
      Α
          No.
          So your issues with your feet started at least in 2003
 8
      if not earlier; is that correct?
 9
          I don't remember the, I don't remember the dates.
10
      like I said, if you have any diabetics in your family
11
12
      they're going to complain about their feet, secure your feet
13
      or it's going to get cut off.
14
        Mr. Ford, I'm not absolutely certain what the number is,
15
      there's an ankle sleeve there, it's Exhibit 15. Is that
16
      correct?
17
               THE COURT: Yes.
18
         Yes.
      Α
          The ankle sleeve that has been admitted as Exhibit 15,
19
20
      that's been prescribed to you for use with your leg irons;
      is that correct?
21
22
          Yes. Yes.
      Α
23
          And you had that prescribed to you in 2004, 2005 also;
24
      is that correct?
25
          A different, it was a different type of ankle sleeve.
```

- 1 But, they have different kinds of ankle sleeves, but I had a 2 different one in 2004. But it was similar to that? 3 It was more of a nylon than a mesh type. A rubber, a 5 rubber type. Okay. It served the same purpose; is that correct? 6 Yes. Same purpose. 7 Mr. Ford, the leg irons that you wear, the leg irons 8 that you have on today that you wore to court today, are 9 those the same leg irons that you have on in the DDU? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And are those the same leg irons that you have worn for 13 31 years in the Department of Correction custody? Same type 14 leg irons? 15 Α Yes. Yes. Yes. 16 Mr. Ford, you testified that in January -- in March of 17 2007 you were released on bail and you're getting everything 18 together out in the community; is that correct? 19 Yes. Α 20 And you violated bail in June of 2007; is that correct? Q It was probably June 26th, I returned back to Walpole. 21 22 And that was the date that the Court violated your bail; Q 23 is that correct?
 - Q And you testified that when you came back into our

24

25

Α

Yes.

```
custody you had a very difficult time with the clanging of
 1
      the doors; is that correct?
 2
          That's correct.
 3
      Α
          Is DDU the only place that they have metal doors?
          Well, DDU's the only place where it's, like you're more
 5
      isolated where the doors are -- like in population setting
 6
 7
      everything is wide open like this room. But when you're in
      a small environment and you hear the doors it's extra loud.
 8
          Isn't it true that if you were in any housing unit in
 9
10
      the entire Department of Correction, however, you would hear
      clanging of doors?
11
12
          It depends. If you're living behind a bar door, they
13
      don't clang. But if you live behind a solid door, yeah,
14
      they clang.
15
          If the bar door doesn't clang shut it's not going to be
16
      loud?
17
          More secure, more shut.
18
          Mr. Ford, you testified that when you returned you were
      Q
      more depressed because you had proven to yourself that you
19
      could make it out in the community; is that correct?
20
21
          I was more depressed because I didn't recognize the
22
      symptoms. I didn't, I didn't, I didn't -- my testimony was
23
      that I thought that, ah, I had no symptoms all these years
24
      in segregation. Until I got out in society and I realized I
      couldn't talk to people, I couldn't do certain things.
25
                                                                So
```

```
1
      that's when I was getting depressed.
          So you were depressed because you couldn't be out in
 2
      society, you were back in the custody of the Department; is
 3
      that correct?
          No, I was depressed because I didn't realize, I never
 5
      thought about those things. I thought about being in
 6
      segregation where it never bothered me all these years
 7
      until, and when I entered society I started recognizing the
 8
      symptoms that I had that I never thought I had.
 9
10
          And you were getting over these symptoms; is that
11
      correct?
12
          No.
      Α
13
      Q
          No, you weren't?
14
      Α
         No.
15
          Could you -- you were out for three months; is that
16
      correct?
17
                THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
18
          You were out for three months; is that correct?
      Q
19
          Yes.
      Α
          And, Mr. Ford, it's true, isn't it, that you were
20
21
      returned to custody because you sent heroin into Cedar
22
      Junction; is that correct?
23
          I was accused of that, yes.
24
               MR. SYRETT: Objection, your Honor.
                THE COURT: Overruled.
25
```

- Q Well, you pled guilty to that, did you not?
- 2 A Yes, I pled guilty.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

- Q And you pled guilty because you were guilty; isn't that correct?
 - A I pled guilty because it was a package deal. It was a package deal put together because I had to plead guilty to all my cases together in order for me to get a certain deal.
 - Q So the charges that you pled guilty to were the heroin charges and the charges of assaulting two correctional staff and taking a nurse hostage; is that correct?
 - MR. SYRETT: Objection, your Honor. And we would like to renew our motion to exclude Mr. Ford's prior criminal record. And, I understand your prior ruling, but if we could have a running objection to this line of questioning.
 - THE COURT: You can have the objection but it's overruled.
 - MS. DANIELE: Thank you, your Honor.
 - A Could you repeat the question, please?
- 20 **Q** I can, Mr. Ford.
 - You pled guilty to the heroin charges and taking a nurse hostage and assaulting two correctional officers; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes, that's correct.
- 25 | Q When you pled guilty there was a plea colloquy, was

```
1
      there not?
 2
      Α
          Yes.
          And in that plea colloguy the judge asked you you're
 3
      pleading guilty because you are guilty, did she not?
 4
 5
          Yes.
                Yes.
          And you pled guilty to all of those charges; is that
 6
      correct?
 7
 8
          Yes.
          Mr. Ford, when you were housed in the DDU prior to being
 9
      released, prior to your completion of your sentence in 2002,
10
      you testified earlier, I believe, that you started taking
11
      Wellbutrin in 2006; is that correct?
12
13
      Α
          I believe that's the year, yes, 2006.
14
      Q
          That was before your pretrial --
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          -- confinement time; is that correct?
      Q
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          Before 2006 did you have any anxiety issues or
      Q
      depression issues at all?
19
20
          I didn't recognize it if I did.
          You didn't?
21
      Q
22
          No. I didn't -- if I did, I can't recall right now.
23
                  Isn't it true, Mr. Ford, that in 2005 you sought
24
      to see mental health because of anxiety attacks?
          I'm not sure of the year. But I did, I did call to see
25
      Α
```

```
1
      them.
          Prior to being released as a pretrial detainee.
 2
          It's either 2005 or 2006. So if you're saying 2005 then
 3
      Α
      it was 2005.
 4
          Either one of those, it was --
 5
 6
      Α
          Yes.
 7
      Q
          -- prior to being, completing --
          Prior, prior, yes.
 8
      Α
          -- the prior sentence; is that correct?
 9
      Q
10
          Yes.
      Α
          And you were -- one of the reasons why you sought mental
11
12
      health treatment was because you were anxious about your
13
      pending charges; isn't that correct?
14
          It, it, ah -- I wanted to know why, why that incident
15
      happened. So, I figured that if I would for the first time
16
      talk to mental health to find out about A, Z, why do I react
17
      to certain things, it was like a start.
18
          And the incident, that was committed in 2002 when you --
      Q
          2002.
19
      Α
          -- assaulted two officers and took a nurse hostage; is
20
21
      that correct?
22
          I was charged, yes. Yes.
23
          And you continuously had anxiety and panic attacks
24
      around that incident, did you not?
          I don't recall.
25
      Α
```

```
1
      Q
          Did you --
               THE COURT: Could I have the chronology though.
2
      The incident is in 2002. When are the charges brought?
 3
               MS. DANIELE: The criminal charges?
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MS. DANIELE: 2003.
                                     January 2003.
 6
7
               THE COURT: And when was -- and the plea was in
      2007?
8
9
               MS. DANIELE: April.
10
               THE WITNESS: 2008.
               THE COURT: 2008. Okay.
11
12
          And in 2005 you were, or in 2006, whichever, you had
13
      sought mental health treatment for the panic attacks, did
14
      you not?
          You keep saying panic attacks. I don't, I don't
15
16
      remember what, exactly what I was seeing them for, right?
17
      But I remember seeing them the first time that, someone from
18
      the mental health department was around 2005 or 2006, and
      I've never seen nobody again for all 27 years I have in.
19
20
      So, if it's panic attacks then I don't recall exactly why.
      But I explained to you that I needed someone to talk to.
21
22
          And you were able to speak with people during that time
23
      period, correct?
24
          The first, the first time I had requested.
25
          And so beginning in 2005 or 2006 you were able to see
```

```
1
      mental health clinicians; isn't that correct?
 2
      Α
          Yes.
          And when the mental health clinicians came around at
 3
      times you spoke to her; isn't that correct? To him or her.
 4
 5
          Not at the door.
          Is that correct?
 6
      Q
 7
          Not at the door.
          Were you able to meet with her in a noncontact visiting
 8
      room at all?
 9
10
          I was pulled out to the multi-purpose room. And I don't
      even know if it was a him or a her. I just remember talking
11
12
      to somebody, right, setting up an initial meeting with them.
13
      It took about, it took about, I remember it took two, three
14
      months. Me requesting to see them is like, you know, I'm
15
      getting, you know, all right, all right, and that was it.
16
      Q
          And this is prior to your pretrial --
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          -- detention?
      Q
19
          Yes.
      Α
          Mr. Ford, on direct examination you said the 375 days in
20
21
      pretrial detention was the hardest time you ever did because
22
      you felt that if you could get out you could be like
23
      everyone else, out on the streets you could be like everyone
24
      else. Is that what you testified to?
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

```
1
          Did everybody else send heroin into maximum security
 2
      prisons?
          You living in today's society. You know, people do
 3
      crazy things out there. And you say wow, I didn't know that
 4
      person was like that. I didn't know this person was like
 5
      that.
 6
 7
          Mr. Ford, you testified, I believe, that you had been in
      segregation with the Department of Corrections since 1993;
 8
      is that correct? Approximately?
 9
10
          I spent longer than that. But yeah. You know.
      Α
          Leaving aside any time -- you first came into the
11
      Q
12
      Department of Corrections in 1978; is that correct?
13
      Α
          1980.
14
      Q
          1980?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          And give or take a period, a couple of periods of time
17
      out on parole, and your recent time in 2007 out on bail,
18
      you've been in the Department of Correction custody since
      that time; is that correct?
19
20
          That's correct.
21
          And in 1992 you were in segregation when you began your
22
      most, the sentence that you were released on in January of
23
      2007, correct?
24
          That's correct.
25
          So from around that time or shortly thereafter you've
```

```
1
      been in segregation your entire, the entire remainder of
 2
      your incarceration?
          That's correct.
 3
      Α
          During this time period in segregation and the DDU you
 4
 5
      were subject to regular pat searches; is that correct?
          That's correct.
 6
 7
      Q
          Regular strip searches; is that correct?
          That's correct.
 8
          And regular hands-on escorts; is that correct?
 9
      Q
10
                THE COURT: I can't hear, I'm sorry.
11
      Q
          And regular hands-on escorts; is that correct?
12
          Yes.
      Α
13
                MS. DANIELE: I apologize, your Honor.
14
      Q
          When you returned as a pretrial detainee in June of
15
      2007, the manner in which you were searched did not change,
16
      did it?
17
          Besides being put on the, them putting on riot gear,
18
      following with the camera, you know, that's the only thing
      that changed.
19
          And your housing in the Department Disciplinary Unit did
20
      not change, did it?
21
22
          No.
      Α
23
          Your conditions of confinement, did they change?
24
      Α
          No.
25
          So since essentially 1992 or '93 you've always exercised
      Q
```

```
1
      in a cage that's similar to the photograph that your
      attorney showed you; is that correct?
 2
          That's correct.
 3
      Α
          And since that time you've eaten in your cell; is that
 5
      correct?
          That's correct.
 6
 7
          And since that time you've always had noncontact visits;
      is that correct?
 8
 9
          That's correct.
10
          Mr. Ford, you testified that when you returned as a
      pretrial detainee you didn't want to talk to anyone and
11
12
      didn't want visits. You could have had visits, could you
13
      not?
          Yes.
14
      Α
                Yes.
15
          You had earned four visits a month in the DDU; is that
16
      correct?
17
          I never lost it. I came back like I never left. I had
18
      my four visits, TV, radio. It's like I never left.
19
      Q
          So --
20
                THE COURT: How much TV and radio do you have in
      the DDU?
21
22
                THE WITNESS: Huh?
23
                THE COURT: Do you have unrestricted time with the
24
      television and radio, or is it restricted?
25
                THE WITNESS: You have your own TV in your cell.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And you can watch it whenever you want?
 2
                THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
                THE COURT: And the radio, too?
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
 4
 5
          And during the entire time you were a pretrial detainee
      in the DDU you had your TV and your radio; isn't that
 6
      correct?
 7
 8
          Yes.
          And you had four visits a month; is that correct?
 9
10
          Yes.
      Α
11
          And you had four telephone calls a month; is that
12
      correct?
13
      Α
          Yes.
14
          And occasionally you had more than four telephone calls;
15
      isn't that correct?
16
          With -- call your lawyer. But that's counting extra.
17
          Mr. Ford, you testified that you don't want the bishop
18
      to come visit you because he's old and it's uncomfortable.
      Isn't it true that you're embarrassed that you're back
19
20
      behind bars and you don't want the bishop to come visit you?
          Not embarrassed. I just told you why I didn't want him
21
22
      to come.
23
          Are you worried about what the bishop would think if he
24
      knew that you felt that Kingism should be a religion and not
25
      the religion that you want to be?
```

```
1
          I have that option to not see him, not see anyone.
      explained to you why I didn't want to see him, because of
 2
      the way he walked over to DDU. It's an uncomfortable area.
 3
          So you think he would feel that a change in religion
      from Christianity to Latin Kingism would be appropriate?
 5
          I've never changed my religion. I've helped others with
 6
 7
      this, but I've never changed what I believe in.
          The bishop in the community that you saw, what religion
 8
 9
      was he?
10
          Baptist.
      Α
11
          Excuse me?
      Q
12
          He was a Baptist.
      Α
13
      Q
          And according to the Department of Correction records
14
      you're a Muslim right now; isn't that correct?
15
      Α
          For like the last 30 years.
16
          You've been a Muslim, correct?
      Q
17
          What I am is, when I put down as a Muslim, because you
18
      buy oil. So you can put oil in your vent. Because the guys
19
      throw feces and stuff in segregation. So I don't like that
20
      kind of smell in my cell. So in order to buy oil you have
      to say that you're a Muslim. So I put down as a Muslim
21
22
      where I can buy oil and put the little tissue by the vent
23
      and my cell smells clean.
24
          So you're manipulating the rules of the Department of
25
      Corrections?
```

```
1
          It's not manipulating the rules. If you want to say, if
      you want to smell that all day long then I think you would
2
      do the same thing in my shoes.
 3
          Is that the same reason why you're trying to make Latin
 5
      Kings a religion?
          I'm not trying to -- someone asked me as a favor.
 6
      Because I'm leaving. Once I leave this prison, this prison
7
      life, it's all behind me. I don't plan on contacting
8
      nobody. I don't plan on talking to nobody. So, what I'm
9
      doing now as a favor, ah, that's what they believe in.
10
          Similar to the favor that you did when you passed out
11
12
      your property in 2007 when you thought you were going home;
13
      is that correct?
14
      Α
          Yes.
15
          And that's in violation of the Department of Correction
16
      rules, too, is it not?
17
          I'm not going to take my boxes, my socks and T-shirts
18
      home, or my books. I'm going to leave it to someone who's
      doing 20 years. I don't want nothing to do with prison.
19
          But the rules say that you don't, you're not supposed to
20
21
      give other inmates your property; isn't that correct?
          I've never seen the rule.
22
      Α
23
          Mr. Ford, you testified that sometimes when you go out
24
      for visits the air conditioning isn't working and it's
      really hot; is that correct?
25
```

```
1
          Oh, yeah.
          So sometimes you don't want to go for a visit because of
2
      the lack of air conditioning; is that correct?
3
          It's not that. It's, it's, it's when you have the AC
      off in the DDU it's like a hundred fifty degrees. So, why
 5
      do you want to put your visits through that kind of
6
7
      treatment.
          So in the DDU there's air conditioning; is that correct?
8
         Yes. If it works.
9
10
          There's no air conditioning anywhere else in Cedar
      Junction, is there?
11
12
          Ah, I'm not sure. I haven't been out in population in
13
      so long, I don't know what they have out there.
14
          When you were in population years ago there was no air
15
      conditioning, was there?
16
          1995? No.
17
               THE COURT: Is there other ventilation?
18
      windows don't open?
19
               THE WITNESS: No.
20
               MS. DANIELE: The windows in population do open,
21
      your Honor, but --
22
               THE COURT: I'm assuming in DDU that they're
23
      fixed -- I mean, there's air conditioning, there's no open
24
      windows.
```

MS. DANIELE: Correct, it's climate controlled.

- 1 Q Mr. Ford, your attorneys showed you some photos of your
- 2 cell in the DDU. Was that similar to the cell that you were
- 3 housed in during your pretrial detainee time?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And all the cells in the DDU look similar; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And all the cells in the DDU, all the cells in the DDU
- 9 are single cells; is that correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 **Q** In other words, you live by yourself?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q You want to live by yourself, don't you, Mr. Ford?
- 14 A Every inmate does.
- 15 **Q** And you would prefer to be in the DDU single cell than
- 16 | double celled in population; isn't that correct?
- 17 A Population, you have, you have your freedom. You can
- 18 | walk around. I'm free to be outside walking around. If I
- 19 have to be in a double cell then I have, I'll go to double
- 20 cell.
- 21 | Q So standing here today you would rather be in a double
- 22 bunked cell?
- 23 A If I'm walking around population, yes.
- 24 | Q It's true, isn't it, that at your deposition you told me
- 25 that you would prefer to remain in your cell than be double

```
1
      bunked in population; isn't that correct?
          If I told you that, I didn't mean it like that. I need
2
      to be in population. I need to walk around. But, you know,
3
      every inmate prefers a single cell. Yes, I prefer a single
 4
 5
      cell. But I have to go to population.
               MS. DANIELE: Your Honor, may I approach the
6
      witness?
7
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
               MS. DANIELE: Page 79 of the deposition.
9
10
         Mr. Ford, I'm handing you the deposition that was taken
      in May of 2009. And if I could direct your attention to
11
12
      lines 15 through 17.
13
               I asked you: Would you prefer to be in general
14
      population if you had to have a cell mate? And you said:
15
      No.
16
               Isn't that correct?
17
          I think you tricked me here. Yeah, I think you tricked
18
      me. Because, you know, yes, I said no. But everybody wants
      to go to population.
19
20
          I think you're giving me a little more credit than I
21
      deserve.
22
               Mr. Ford, you testified on direct that you had
23
      difficulty as a pretrial detainee working on your legal
24
      work; is that correct?
25
          Meaning, meaning accessing. Yes.
```

```
1
           Is that correct?
                             Okay.
                You had an attorney for your criminal case, did you
 2
 3
      not?
         Yes.
 4
 5
          Very able attorney, correct?
               Can I give you another answer. I had five
 6
 7
      lawyers. My case started in 2002 and went to 2008. So, it
      was five years that, in between that.
 8
          From January of 2007 when you were released from your
 9
      original prior sentence --
10
11
      Α
          Yes.
12
            -- you had the same attorney from that point on until
13
      the conclusion of your criminal case is that correct?
14
          Yes. Yes, that's correct.
15
          So the entire time you were a pretrial detainee you had
16
      the same attorney; is that correct?
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          And that was the same attorney that you had when you
      Q
      pled guilty; is that correct?
19
20
          That's correct.
      Α
          And you had access to your attorney; isn't that true?
21
22
          Yes.
      Α
23
          You could write to your attorney, correct?
24
      Α
          Yes.
25
          Talk to him on the phone, correct?
```

```
1
          Yes.
      Α
          And he worked out what you felt was a pretty good deal
 2
      in a plea bargain; isn't that correct?
 3
          Yes.
 4
      Α
 5
                MS. DANIELE: Could I have one moment, your Honor.
                (Pause in proceedings.)
 6
 7
               MS. DANIELE: I have no further questions, your
 8
      Honor.
 9
                THE COURT: Any redirect?
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10
     BY MR. SYRETT
11
12
          Mr. Ford, Ms. Daniele asked you some questions about the
13
      effects of your diabetes. Do you recall that?
14
      Α
         Yes.
15
          Did the numbness in your legs get worse as a pretrial
16
      detainee?
17
         Yes, they got worse.
18
          Did the pain in your feet get worse as a pretrial
19
      detainee?
20
         Yes, it did.
21
          And as far as you know both of those symptoms are
22
      attributed to your diabetes?
23
          Yes.
      Α
24
         You were prescribed Tegretol as a pretrial detainee?
25
          That's correct.
      Α
```

1 And then you were prescribed Neurontin after that? Q 2 Α Yes. And what's the reason you were prescribed the Neurontin? 3 He said, ah -- well, the doctor gave me a stronger 5 medication because the Tegretol wasn't working. Mr. Ford, you still have cuts on your legs that you got 6 Q 7 as a pretrial detainee, correct? 8 Α Yes. And those cuts are from the cuffs that you wore? 9 10 Yes. Α Now, Ms. Daniele asked you some questions as well about 11 12 when you started to have some problems with the DDU and when 13 you started talking to mental health at DOC. 14 Do you recall that? 15 Α Yes. 16 Is it fair to say you were having some trouble with 17 staying in the DDU prior to 2007? 18 Sure. Yes. Α But was the experience different as a pretrial detainee? 19 It was, pretrial, it seemed like everything caught up. 20 21 Like as a pretrial detainee before, it's like it got worse 22 and worse and worse. So I reached out for help, you know. 23 And Mr. Daniele also asked you a series of questions 24 about whether your conditions of confinement changed when

you were back in the DDU as a pretrial detainee, or, as

```
1
      compared to before your release.
 2
                Do you recall that?
 3
      Α
          Yes.
          And did your response to those conditions change when
 4
      you were a pretrial detainee?
 5
           Yeah, I couldn't, ah, it was harder for me to deal with,
 6
 7
      you know.
          And so were you more or less anxious as a pretrial
 8
      detainee than as a convicted inmate?
 9
          Can you -- I don't understand.
10
      Α
11
           In 2007 did you suffer more anxiety than you did, than
      Q
12
      you had previously?
13
      Α
          Yes. Yes.
14
      Q
          And in 2007 did you suffer -- did you feel more
      depressed than you had previously?
15
16
      Α
          Yes.
17
           In 2007 and 2008 were you more sensitive to noises
18
      around you than you had been previously?
19
      Α
          Yes.
20
          And in 2007 did you have less energy than you had
      previously?
21
22
          Yes.
      Α
23
          Ms. Daniele asked you about your TV, I believe.
24
      Α
          Yes.
25
          How many channels do you get?
      Q
```

```
1
          4, 5, 6, 7. 56, 38.
                                 That's it.
      Α
          Those are the channel numbers?
 2
          Yes, those are channel numbers. That's it.
 3
      Α
          And do you have cable?
 5
          No.
      Α
          An antenna?
 6
      Q
 7
      Α
          No.
          But what sort of -- how do you get the signal?
 8
          Oh, we have cable channels for those channels, the
 9
10
      regular channels. Yes.
          Now, Ms. Daniele also asked you some questions about
11
12
      your deposition and whether you prefer to be in the general
13
      population.
14
                Do you recall that?
15
      Α
          Yes. Yes.
16
          And you testified I think that Ms. Daniele tricked you,
17
      right?
18
          Yeah, when I read it, I read it being in population.
                                                                   So
      that said no. So that's why I said she must have tricked me
19
20
      or something, you know.
          And do you recall how many times prior to answering the
21
22
      question Ms. Daniele pointed out that you indicated you
```

would prefer to be in the general population?

I think she asked me like three, three, four times.

Like I explained to her that, that if I have to double,

23

24

1 yeah, I'll go to population. At least I can walk around instead of going through cages. 2 And what's the reason that you don't want to share a 3 cell in general population? 4 Because I've been by myself all these years in 5 segregation, so many years. And so, I never been in a cell 6 with anyone. So I don't know if I can deal with another 7 inmate. The cells are small. And so, I don't think no one 8 will want to, you know, request a double cell. Of course 9 I'm requesting a single cell. You know, if I can't get a 10 11 single cell then it's going to be a double cell. But, you 12 know, it's the same with programs. I'm requesting as many 13 programs as there is. And it's like, I go home in nine 14 months, and it's like I'm requesting this help. You know, 15 it's so, all the years I have in, it's like I don't have to 16 request for programs, this and that. I'm wrapping up. I 17 could stay in any cell there is and just leave. But I'm 18 seeking for help. Is it fair to say that you're worried that you're going 19 to have, that you would have a hard time having a cell mate 20 because of the conditions of confinement you're coming from? 21 22 Yeah, because I don't know what to, I don't know what to 23 expect, you know. 24 MR. SYRETT: I have nothing further.

MS. DANIELE: I just have a couple of questions,

1 your Honor. **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 BY MS. DANIELE 3 I just want to clarify, Mr. Ford. 4 You testified on redirect that you got cuts on your 5 ankles from the shackles as a pretrial detainee. It's true, 6 7 isn't it, that in 2003 you got cuts from the shackles also? Yes. Yes. 8 And you testified that you were more anxious when you 9 returned to the DDU in April -- in June of 2007 than you had 10 been in 2005 and 2006 housed in the DDU; is that correct? 11 12 Repeat the question, please. 13 You testified that you were more anxious as a pretrial 14 detainee when you returned to the DDU in April -- I 15 apologize, in June of 2007 than you were in 2005 and 2006 in 16 the DDU; is that correct? 17 What do you mean more anxious? I don't understand it. 18 On redirect you testified that it was harder for you to 19 deal with the DDU and you were more anxious and depressed when you returned --20 Oh, yeah. 21 Α 22 -- in June of 2007; is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 On June 26th of 2007 when you were returned you went to 25 court believing that you were going to plead to the charges

```
1
      and get probation; isn't that correct?
          That's correct.
2
          And instead you were returned to the Department of
 3
      Correction custody; is that correct?
 5
          Yes.
          Mr. Ford, you testified that you have never been in a
 6
7
      cell with another inmate before and you think you would have
      a hard time with it. Is that because you're afraid you
8
      might violate the two foot rule and you'll have to stab him?
9
               MR. SYRETT: Objection, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Sustained.
11
12
          No, it's just --
      Α
13
               THE COURT: No, no, you don't need to answer.
14
      0
          You have a difficult time interacting with other
15
      inmates, do you not, Mr. Ford?
16
          I have a hard time with the whole family. When I was
17
      living -- I'm used to being in a cell by myself. I spent
18
      over 18 straight years in segregation. So, anyone's going
      to have a hard time adjusting around people. So it's not
19
20
      just inmates, it's anyone.
21
          But the entire time you've been housed with the
22
      Department of Correction you've had a difficult time with
23
      other inmates; isn't that true?
24
          It's -- what do you mean difficult? I've been locked up
      in a cell for so many years. From '76 and the DDU until I
25
```

```
1
      wrap up. So it's like I'm not around inmates in the yard.
2
          But the fact that you're not around other inmates,
      you've been able to assault quite a few inmates and quite a
 3
      few staff members; isn't that correct?
 4
          Yes, I was charged with --
 5
               MR. SYRETT: Objection, your Honor.
 6
7
               THE COURT: Overruled.
          So you do have a difficult time with other inmates;
8
      isn't that correct?
9
          No, I'm not -- it's not difficult times. It's just, you
10
      know, I feel like I'm being on trial again. I was punished
11
12
      for things that I did, what you, what you mentioned.
13
      there's always two sides of a story. You know what I mean?
14
      You're saying I'm having a difficult deal with inmates and
15
      you're just making it one-sided.
16
          So that means you've justified in stabbing another
17
      inmate?
18
          No, I'm not saying that I'm justified. No, I just,
      ah -- for example, like if I'm going to another cell with
19
      somebody else, right, you know, I don't want to be in a cell
20
21
      with someone who kills some little baby or raped some
22
      female. I don't want to associate with someone like that.
23
      So I would prefer my own cell. So, that's what I'm worried
24
      about. It's not the other inmates. Like, you know, you got
25
      cases that, that makes you sick to your stomach. I don't
```

```
1
      want to be around them if I have my choice to be someplace
      else. So, I don't know who that person is, you know.
2
      that's my situation. So, if you're going to be put into a
3
      cell it's like, you know, you put me into a cell with
 4
      someone who raped a female, somebody who killed a little
 5
      baby, or something like that, I don't want to be around
6
7
      them.
          So you don't want to be around another inmate that --
8
          In a cell. In a cell.
9
10
          -- in a cell with another inmate that killed a baby
      because you're afraid of what you might do to them?
11
12
          No, I just don't want to be around them. That's all.
13
      I'm not going to do nothing to them. I'm going home.
14
      going home in nine months. This prison life is all behind
15
      me. You know. So it's my choice to, to be in a cell. If I
16
      got to be in a cell with someone, I will. But my main
17
      purpose is I'm going home in nine months and that's it.
18
      This life is over for me.
19
          That's what you thought in March of 2007 also, didn't
20
      you.
         March of 2007?
21
      Α
22
      Q
         Yes.
23
          I had a case pending. It was a case in 2002.
                                                          I knew I
24
      was -- you know what I'm saying, it was a case pending.
25
          And you picked up other charges while you were out;
      Q
```

```
1
      isn't that correct?
          I was charged with it.
2
          And you pled guilty to it, correct?
3
          I had no choice.
               MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, I object. We're well
 5
      outside the scope of my redirect.
6
7
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MS. DANIELE: I have no more questions, your Honor.
8
               THE COURT: I think she's done.
9
10
               MS. DANIELE: I have no more questions, your Honor,
11
      thank you.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Does it make sense to end
13
      for today or does it make sense to do the videos?
14
               MR. SYRETT: Your Honor, we have, I think it's
15
      approximately 30, 35 minutes of video designations that we
16
      would be happy to play now if you think that would work with
17
      the schedule.
18
               THE COURT: I don't know what an appropriate
      schedule is for Mr. Ford. Is half an hour a problem?
19
20
               THE MARSHAL: No, no problem, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. You may step down then.
21
22
               THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
23
               (Whereupon the witness stepped down.)
24
               THE COURT: Why don't I take just a few minutes
25
      while you set this up. Okay?
```

1 MS. DANIELE: Your Honor, before you do, can we deal with any objections to whatever the deposition 2 testimony is because there are some that we have objections 3 to. 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, how should we do it? 5 MS. CHAUDHARY: Well, I believe that there are only 6 objections to three of the clips and they're towards the 7 bottom of Bissonnette Clip 1, Bissonnette Clip 3 and 8 Bissonnette Clip 4 are the objections. So, I don't know if 9 10 we can play up until --11 THE COURT: Well, don't we have to play them anyway 12 to know what the objection is? Or can you give me, give me 13 the transcript now. Do you have it? 14 MS. DANIELE: Yes, your Honor, that wasn't -- I 15 think now I'm confused. Because the portions -- first off, 16 I have never seen the videos, so I have no idea what the 17 video is. I've only seen transcripts. 18 THE COURT: Well, presumably they're saying on the video whatever they say in the transcript. 19 20 MS. DANIELE: That's what I'm assuming, too. the objections that I had were to Peter St. Amand's 21 22 testimony, not Dale Bissonnette's testimony. The only thing 23 with regard to Dale Bissonnette that I objected to is 24 there's some preview portions that were, that -- when I was 25 given the transcript there were four pages on one thing --

```
1
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Excuse me, your Honor.
               MS. DANIELE: -- that I objected to before what
2
      leads in to her. That's all. And I was assuming that that
3
      was taken out if it's going to be a video. If that's not
      true then I have one objection to deal with. But the rest
 5
      of them are Peter St. Amand's, the objections are to Peter
 6
7
      St. Amand's testimony.
               THE COURT: What types of objections are they? Are
8
      they to specific questions?
9
               MS. DANIELE: Well, pretty much with Peter St.
10
      Amand, is everything relevant.
11
12
               THE COURT: Well, why don't -- there were no
13
      objections to Bender, is that right?
14
               MS. DANIELE: No, your Honor.
15
               MS. CHAUDHARY: And I believe I misspoke. I
16
      believe there are objections to Mr. St. Amand's testimony.
17
               THE COURT: So let's do Bender first and then while
18
      I take a break right now, can you give me the transcripts
      for Mr. St. Amand so that I can see what the --
19
20
               MS. CHAUDHARY: You have the transcript in the
21
      binder that we provided. It's transcript -- it's tab number
22
      18. And I believe the objections are noted in the side with
23
      red --
24
               THE COURT: I'll take a look at that while you set
25
      this up and I'll be back in five minutes.
```

```
1
               MS. DANIELE:
                             Thank you, your Honor.
               THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
 2
               (Recess.)
 3
               THE CLERK: All rise. You may be seated.
 4
               THE COURT: Let me just deal with the objections
 5
      for a moment.
 6
               As I understand it, the first set of objections
7
      relates to the comparison to general population and I assume
8
      that's the DOC's general objection that that's not the
9
10
      appropriate comparison.
11
               MS. DANIELE: That's correct, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. That objection is
13
      overruled.
14
               The second relates to suicides.
15
               MS. DANIELE: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: Let me just hear you on that. That's a
17
      new thought for me.
18
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Hear me on this or --
               THE COURT: Who's objecting?
19
20
               MS. DANIELE: I'm objecting to the testimony
      regarding suicides because it's absolutely not relevant to
21
22
      this case at all. There's no testimony that Mr. Ford was
23
      going to commit suicide, did commit suicide. There's no
24
      expert testimony regarding any of that. The case about
25
      segregation and suicide is already going on in Judge Wolf's
```

courtroom. That has nothing to do with what we're here for today.

MS. CHAUDHARY: Your Honor, the conditions of confinement in the DDU and their effect on the mental health generally of inmates is certainly an issue in this case.

Dr. Grassian testified that certainly one of the risks of those kinds of conditions of confinement is suicide and more to the point, Mr. St. Amand, the superintendent of Cedar Junction, indicated that there was an issue with suicides in the DDU and that's something that was brought out at his deposition and we think it's relevant to the type of harm that the DDU can cause.

THE COURT: I actually don't think this is the case that we're trying on whether or not the conditions of the DDU establish, make someone more likely than not to commit suicide. I do, however, believe that there's been testimony by Mr. Ford that he was concerned about this and heard events going on in the unit of other inmates who had committed suicide, and he testified about his emotional response to that. So I'm going to let it in. I don't know how significant that's actually going to be in the ruling. But I'm not making a ruling in this case as to the overall effect of the DDU on mental health in general on likelihood of suicide. Okay?

MS. CHAUDHARY: Your Honor, you'll first hear

1 testimony of James Bender. Mr. Bender was the acting and deputy commissioner of the Department of Corrections during 2 the relevant time period. 3 (Whereupon the designated portions of the 4 deposition testimony of James Bender were played.) 5 MS. CHAUDHARY: Next we'll hear testimony from 6 Peter St. Amand. He was the superintendent of the DDU. 7 (Whereupon the designated portions of the 8 deposition testimony of Peter St. Amand were played.) 9 MS. CHAUDHARY: Just one moment. 10 11 Your Honor, I believe that clip stopped a bit short and if I could just read the remainder of the designated 12 testimony into the record. It's in tab 18 of the binder we 13 14 provided, page 92 and 93. I believe the clip stopped at 15 line 14 and we would also like to designate 15 through 24 of 16 page 92, and 1 through 15 of page 93, and I'll just read 17 that into the record, if I'm permitted. 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. CHAUDHARY: By Mr. Syrett, Question: 19 20 2008 you said there were 35 inmates who went to the hospital having injured themselves? 21 22 Yes. 23 And do you know of those 35 approximately how many 24 of those incidents would have occurred in the DDU or the 10 25 Block?

```
1
               Answer: I would have to say it's probably about
      half and half again.
2
               Ouestion: So half?
 3
               Answer: It's not 35, it's 35 instances, not 35
      different inmates.
 5
               Question:
 6
                          Okay.
               Answer: It may have been the same inmate five
7
      times, but I would say that it would, almost all of those
8
      except for maybe two were either in segregation or the DDU.
9
10
               Question: And for those 35, you know, minus one or
      two as you said that were not in the DDU or the 10 Block, do
11
12
      you think there's a link between the conditions in which the
13
      inmates were confined and their attempt to hurt themselves?
14
               Answer: Yes.
                               The --
15
               Never mind.
16
               And next we'll hear deposition testimony from Dale
17
      Bissonnette, the administrator of the DDU.
18
               (Whereupon the designated portions of deposition
      testimony of Dale Bissonnette were played.)
19
20
               MS. CHAUDHARY: I just wanted to note on the record
      that Exhibit 14 that was discussed in that last --
21
22
               THE COURT: I'm sorry?
23
               MS. CHAUDHARY: Exhibit 14 that's discussed in the
24
      last clip of Ms. Bissonnette is Exhibit 1 in this, in this
      trial which was admitted, the DDU handbook.
25
```

1 MR. SYRETT: And with that, your Honor, Mr. Ford 2 rests his case. And, your Honor, if I may make one -- can we leave 3 our boxes? Or maybe that's a question for Mr. Ouinn later. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes, we can, we can leave things here. The plaintiff has rested. I'll hear from everyone tomorrow 6 and we'll continue tomorrow morning. 7 Is ten o'clock all right then for everyone? 8 MR. SYRETT: Yes, your Honor. 9 10 MS. DANIELE: Your Honor, could we just discuss a couple of scheduling things. Obviously first thing tomorrow 11 morning then we will have a motion for directed verdict. 12 13 The witnesses -- we have five witnesses total, one 14 of whom is our expert, who I think no matter how we worked 15 it, if we called him tomorrow, he would go over into 16 Wednesday. So, if we could have our other four witnesses 17 tomorrow and if we finish early, we finish early, and do our 18 last witness and closings on Wednesday. THE COURT: That works for me. Is that all right 19 with the plaintiff? 20 21 MS. CHAUDHARY: Yes. 22 MS. DANIELE: It would save us money, too. We're 23 trying to save the Commonwealth money from paying our expert 24 for two days. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So, it sounds to me, though,

```
1
      that we should be able to finish on Wednesday.
 2
               MS. DANIELE: That's what I would hope for.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll see you tomorrow. We
      can -- if we start at 10:00, do you think you'll get through
 4
 5
      the four witnesses?
               MS. DANIELE: I would think so.
 6
                THE COURT: You think so. All right, we'll be
 7
      adjourned. So I'll hear motions in the morning.
 8
 9
               All right, thank you.
10
               MR. SYRETT: Thank you, your Honor.
                THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
11
12
               (Adjournment.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Donald E. Womack, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill and ability. /S/DONALD E. WOMACK 10-7-2011DONALD E. WOMACK Official Court Reporter P.O. Box 51062 Boston, Massachusetts 02205-1062 womack@megatran.com